1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK							
3								
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,							
5	-versus- 08-CR-77							
6	LINDA O'CONNOR and DEAN SACCO.							
7								
8	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL							
9	held in and for the United States District Court,							
10	Northern District of New York, at the Federal Building and							
11	Courthouse, 15 Henry Street, Binghamton, New York, on							
12	TUESDAY, May 27, 2008, before the HON. THOMAS J. McAVOY,							
13	Senior United States District Court Judge, PRESIDING.							
14	APPEARANCES:							
15	FOR THE GOVERNMENT:							
16	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE							
17	BY: MIROSLAV LOVRIC, AUSA							
18	Binghamton, New York							
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT O'CONNOR:							
20	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE							
21	BY: LISA PEEBLES, AFPD							
22	Syracuse, New York							
23	FOR THE DEFENDANT SACCO:							
24	KELLY FISCHER, ESQ.							
25	Binghamton, New York							

1	(In open court)						
2	MR. FISCHER: Your Honor, one exhibit I've						
3	spoken with Mr. Lovric about, I didn't speak with Miss						
4	Peebles about. It's a bill from the plumber rather than the						
5	plumber himself that I'd like to offer into evidence.						
6	THE COURT: All right. What's the number?						
7	MR. FISCHER: Excellent question.						
8	MISS PEEBLES: Your Honor, we may have to put						
9	something on the record I guess before the jury's brought in.						
10	THE COURT: Okay of. Let's get Kelly's done						
11	first and we'll go to you.						
12	THE CLERK: The next is going to be S-31.						
13	MR. FISCHER: Your Honor, this is Exhibit						
14	S-31, consists of two pages. Pederson Plumbing bill.						
15	Also, Ms. Ligas brought to my attention that I						
16	had two exhibits with the same number. S-20 was the phone						
17	script used in the March 14 and 15, 2007 phone calls. I also						
18	marked as S-20 Shannon's March 2, 2007 statement to Norwich						
19	Police Department.						
20	THE COURT: Why don't you just make that						
21	S-20-A. That will take care of it.						
22	Any objection to receipt of S-31 on the part						
23	of the government or co-defendant?						
24	MR. LOVRIC: No objection.						
24 25	MR. LOVRIC: No objection. MISS PEEBLES: No, your Honor.						

THE COURT: We will receive in evidence 1 2 Defendant's S-31. 3 Okay, Miss Peebles. MISS PEEBLES: Your Honor, it was based upon 4 5 the government's objection to us calling a child psychologist 6 and the Court having concerns about whether or not the case 7 law would support it. In light of the fact that the alleged victim in this case was 14, or 14 and was 13 at the time, we 8 9 withdrew our request. And in that regard, your Honor, and 10 it's my -- I have a concern that the government is now going 11 to try to call their child psychologist or psychiatrist in 12 rebuttal. 13 THE COURT: I don't think that's appropriate. 14 I think to rebut something, you've got to have something put 15 in by the defense, then the government has the right to call 16 somebody that says something different, perhaps. But without 17 putting the predicate on, without having the child 18 psychologist, I don't think it would be appropriate for Mr. 19 Lovric to call someone to say how truthful the victim witness 20 was. 21 Mr. Lovric, what do you say about that? 22 MR. LOVRIC: I agree with you absolutely, 23 Judge. 24 THE COURT: Here we go. 25 MISS PEEBLES: We have one more witness we're

```
1
    going to call, and after that we will rest on behalf of Mrs.
 2
    O'Connor.
 3
                    THE COURT: Is there going to be any rebuttal
    evidence?
 4
 5
                   MR. LOVRIC: No, there's not, Judge.
                    THE COURT: That will be the close of the
 6
 7
    evidence. And then we'll go right into summation.
    everybody ready for that?
8
 9
                   MISS PEEBLES: Yes, your Honor.
10
                    THE COURT: Do you want to take ten minutes to
11
    go back and look at new language that I put in that's
    important to Mr. Fischer?
12
13
                   MR. FISCHER: Yes, sir.
14
                   THE COURT: We'll take ten minutes and go into
15
    summation.
16
                   MR. LOVRIC: Judge, I don't believe I have any
17
    rebuttal based on what I've heard so far. I kind of know
18
    what Miss Hamilton's going to say. In case there is
19
    something there, I don't want anybody to hold me to it. As
20
    thus far in the trial, I do not have any rebuttal.
21
                    THE COURT: Let me know if something develops.
```

23 (Jury present)

Okay. Colleen.

2.2

- 24 THE COURT: Morning, ladies and gentlemen.
- 25 Once again, let me thank you for the courtesy you extended me

in allowing me not to be overcome by the force. It appears 1 that worked out well for all of us because as I understand 2 3 it, we have one witness left and perhaps some rebuttal, but probably not so. After that witness testifies, then we'll 4 take a short break and we'll start closing arguments. things are going to move along finally, and we appreciate 6 7 everybody's cooperation. So, Miss Peebles, who have you got for us? 8 9 MISS PEEBLES: On behalf of Mrs. O'Connor, the defense would call Kim Hamilton. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 THE CLERK: State your name for the record. 13 THE WITNESS: Kim Hamilton. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

Kim Hamilton - Direct

- 2 being duly sworn, testified as follows:
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MISS PEEBLES:
- Q Mrs. Hamilton, can you tell the jury in what town you reside.
- 7 A In Norwich, New York.
- 8 Q And who do you reside -- who do you live with?
- 9 A My husband and six children.
- 10 Q And are those six children foster children or are 11 they adopted?
- 12 A I have -- I have three that are adopted and three 13 that are foster children.
- 14 Q And how long have you been involved as a foster 15 parent?
- 16 A Four years.
- Q And how did you become involved with helping to raise foster children?
- 19 A I couldn't have children of my own and so I
 20 mentioned it to my husband. We took classes, and from that
 21 day on we've had numerous children.
- Q Now, the children that you adopted, were they at some point foster children that you were raising?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q I'm going to ask you whether you know Shannon

1	O'Connor?
---	-----------

- 2 A How do I know her?
- 3 Q How do you know Shannon O'Connor?
- 4 A She came to my house as a foster child.
- 5 Q And do you recall when that was?
- 6 A February, last year.
- 7 0 2007?
- 8 A Yes.

16

- 9 Q And when Shannon O'Connor came to live with you,
- 10 | did you have any interaction with her caseworkers?
- 11 A Yes, I did.

Α

- 12 O And who was her caseworker?
- 13 A Elizabeth Chesebro.
- Q Can you describe Shannon's adjustment to living
- 15 with you at your home when she first came to live with you.
- 17 course. But she immediately made herself right at home. She

When she first came, she was really scared, of

- 18 started calling me Mom immediately. She adjusted very well
- 19 and was impressed that I had so many children in my home
- 20 | before and have adopted so many children.
- 21 Q Can you describe what you observed with regard to
- 22 her interaction with her caseworker.
- 23 A They were like best friends.
- 24 Q In what way?
- 25 A It was like anything Shannon wanted, she got, but I

- can recall when Shannon came into care, besides Liz, there
 were other caseworkers that had stated to me there was -anything at all that Shannon needed, just to let them know
 and they would see to it that she got it. And it wasn't
 through the county; it was through friendship that they built
 up with Shannon.
 - Q Now, what did you observe about how Shannon reacted with her caseworkers versus other foster children that you had and their interaction with their caseworkers?
- 10 A As I said, they seemed like they were best friends.

 11 Anything that Shannon wanted, it seemed as though Shannon

 12 got.
 - Q Is that something you had noticed in the past with regard to other foster children?
 - A Absolutely not. No.

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

- Q Now, did there come a time when you became aware of allegations that Shannon had made about Dean Sacco?
 - A She never talked to me about Dean Sacco.
- 19 Q Did you have -- did you ever have a conversation 20 with a caseworker concerning allegations that she made?
 - A I think so, but then it was just allegations. It was something she had mentioned, but nothing came out of it.
- Q What -- Did Shannon ever talk to you about a
 birthday party that she had or was having back in December of
 25 2006?

- 1 She did. We were going to the mall, and I think it was a couple weeks after she had moved in with us, 2 3 because I think her birthday is in December, and we were driving, and we went past the Best Western, and she said that 4 5 that's where her and her mom went for her birthday. It was a vacation, and she was very disappointed because her landlord 6 7 Dean could not make it -- well, I didn't know it was Dean then, but her landlord could not make it, because her and her 8 9 mom became friends with the landlord and Shannon really liked 10 hanging out with the landlord but her mother didn't like her 11 to.
- 12 Q Did -- So Shannon discussed with you having a birthday party that the landlord wasn't able to attend?
- 14 A Right.
- Q At the time did you think anything of that conversation?
- 17 A No, I didn't.
- Q Now, did Shannon ever run away from your home while she was staying with you as a foster child?
- 20 A Yes, she did.
- Q And could you tell the jury when approximately that happened.
- A Possibly March or April. I'm not a hundred percent sure, but her and my daughter took off, and so I called the caseworker and I drove around looking for her, and I went

first to Linda's house and nobody was there. And the caseworker also said that she went there looking. And everybody was driving around. They called the police. They eventually found Shannon and my daughter at the bowling alley, and Shannon did admit that she was looking for her mom because she needed just to see her because she had missed her so much and -- but then they returned and Shannon was a little apparently angry at me, wanted to get back at me for finding her.

Q What did she do after she came back to your house that night?

A She came up with a letter stating that my husband and I abused all of our children constantly, that we both sexually assaulted her and that we, you know, didn't provide for her, all of this, and then she went around and made all of the children -- and some of my children that I have are special needs and they don't have the ability to understand or read and that -- had them all sign it because she was going to turn it in to have us arrested. And I said, if this is true, everybody, then I think everybody needs to pack their bags and leave. I've been doing this for 13 years, you know. So -- so later, Shannon came out and she was apologizing to me for writing the letter and she ripped it up, and she said when she gets angry, she does things like that to get attention, and she wasn't getting apparently

- enough attention from my husband and I and she wanted more attention.
- Q Was there ever a CPS investigation, do you know,
 regarding any allegations she made about you and your husband
 Dick?
 - A We -- I'm not sure if it was a CPS report, but I had caseworkers that came out and talked to us about what Shannon had wrote and stuff, and they found it to be unfounded and -- Shannon apologized and continued to apologize for days but, you know, you lose trust in a child when they start doing stuff like that.
 - Q Did there come a time when Shannon was removed from your home?
 - A Well, no, she was -- yeah, she was removed from my home. They took her from school and I got a phone call that she had to be removed.
- 17 Q And where did they take her?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A To down here in Binghamton to a children's psych something. I don't really remember the name of the place.
- Q Did you ever -- did you have contact Shannon -- with Shannon after she went to the psychiatric hospital?
- A After she was there for quite some time we got to have a phone call, and it was monitored.
- Q Did you ever visit with Shannon while she was at the psychiatric center?

1 A Yes, I did.

- 2 Q Do you know how many times you visited with her?
- 3 A I think three.
- Q And what was the -- what did you feel after you had the visits with Shannon?
 - A I think the first time when I left, I thought, wow, this place is not like a home, home setting. But at the same time I did not feel sorry for Shannon. I felt like this is what Shannon wanted and she was going to get attention from these guys.
 - Q Did you ever voice any concerns regarding medication that they were giving her?
 - A I did. I did. I think it was Zoloft that they had her on, and I can recall they said that Shannon was like hallucinating and acting different and stuff, and I had mentioned to them that I have had kids in my care, they started off with Zoloft, and they said things that were just crazy and did things that weren't, I guess, normal from when they first came in, and when they would get off from that, it was different. And Shannon, they finally took Shannon off that and they put her on something different, and it was more like the first day when I met Shannon. She was that, you know, cute little girl that anybody could have loved, I guess.
 - Q What about any visits to the hospital while she was

Kim Hamilton - Direct

- in your care; did Shannon ever have to go to the hospital while she lived with you?
 - A One time she did, yes.

2.2

Q Could you tell the jury about that instance.

A She was in cheerleading and she asked me numerous times if she could quit cheerleading, and in my house, if you start something, you really have to finish it, unless you have a really good reason why you can't. And I could tell something was going on with Shannon, and a lot of kids on the cheerleading team really didn't care much for Shannon.

So, I got a phone call and they said that Shannon went up in a pyramid and she fell backwards and couldn't move, and I was like, okay, you know. So I had to find somebody to watch the kids and I went to the hospital, but I wasn't in a rush because in my gut I felt like this is an attention thing, she needs more attention or whatever.

So we -- I got down there and there was three coaches and one of the EMS guys were there that Shannon knows very well from camp and stuff. They were all like just smothering her pampering her, everything like that, and, you know, she was like, Mom, I can't move and I can't this, and I was like, geez, you know, falling two feet, I've fallen two feet. And she had like a tiny little bruise on her back. She was like, oh, I just wish I had a bear or something to hug. My mom would have done something like that for me.

- Well, one of the coaches literally left, went to the store, bought her a cheerleading bear and brought it back. It was like this miracle, she was healed. It was --
 - Q Did you ever witness Shannon lying to her mother while she was living with you or manipulating her mother?
- She made her mom feel quilty. Like her mom -- she 6 7 had a dog and her mom had to get rid of the dog, and it was supposed to be Shannon's dog. And a turtle. She would -- I 8 9 quess because I had to listen in to the conversations, 10 Shannon always tried to make her mom feel guilty. Like her 11 mom could not do anything right for her and, you know -- from 12 where I was as the foster parent, everything seemed to be 13 going absolutely fine and Shannon never, you know, didn't lie 14 to her mom or make her -- and her mom didn't lie to her about 15 anything either so --
- MISS PEEBLES: Thank you, Kim. I have no further questions.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lovric.
- MR. LOVRIC: Just a few questions.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. LOVRIC:

4

- 22 O Hi, Miss Hamilton.
- 23 A Hi.
- Q I just wanted to go over a couple of things that you mentioned with Miss Peebles.

2.2

When Shannon first came to you in foster care, is
it correct she was very, very scared and very concerned,
something was bothering her at that point?

A When she first came, she was only scared for a couple hours and then that was it. And then the only concern she had was what was going to happen to her mom for not paying the bill at Pizza Hut.

Q Okay. Well, did you know at that point that Shannon had come to your household after she and her mom had not paid for food at a restaurant and her mom was eventually arrested and charged for that? Did you know that at that time?

A Shannon came into my home as a foster child because her mom could not pay the bill at Pizza Hut. That is what I was told.

Q Okay. And at that point when Shannon came into your custody and into your home, you didn't really know much more about Shannon's background, you didn't know where else she had lived or under what kind of circumstances at that point, is that a fair statement?

A No, I didn't. Well, I knew some. The caseworker Liz filled me in that they lived in Deposit and they were flooded out and that they were involved with church and then they moved to Norwich and that many years ago Shannon tried cutting herself one time with a plastic knife and so just to

- 1 keep my eye out for that. And I never saw Shannon cut
 2 herself. Never even talked about it or any of that to us.
- Q Okay. But as far as -- you got some general information about Shannon and her mother, where they had been, but as far as specifics, you didn't have specific information about Shannon's internal family workings, things about her mother and maybe things about her brother and things of that nature?
 - A No, I did. I knew her brother did not live with them for quite some time. I knew Shannon talked about -- they told us that she called this lady and guy Grandma and Grandpa. And that her mother had some disabilities.
- Q Okay. I guess when she first came to live with you, this is stuff you knew when she came to live with you?
 - A Yes. They have to give me information before I would even okay if the child can come into my home.
- 17 Q Who gave you that information?
- 18 A Liz Chesebro.
- Q At that point in time Liz is giving you an update on things you need to know, things you need to be aware of?
- 21 A Right.

10

11

12

15

16

2.2

23

24

- Q Now when Shannon was living in your home, is it fair to say that she got along fairly well with the other children?
 - A She got along excellent with the other children.

- Q And when she was living in your home, did you find Shannon to be somebody that was a generally positive kid?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Positive thinking?
- 5 A Yes.

- Q You said that at one point she talked to you about or mentioned the landlord. Did she mention him by name or did she mention him simply by saying the landlord?
 - A The landlord.
- Q Now, I take this conversation that you had with

 Shannon, when she tells you about this event, this is before

 she discloses to the police about sexual -- being abused by

 Dean Sacco?
- 14 A Right.
- Q So she came to live with you, I think it was February 26 or February 27?
- 17 A Something like that, yes.
- Q And then you were aware that on March 2 she disclosed to a teacher at her school about being sexually abused by her landlord, Dean Sacco?
- A No. I knew later -- it wasn't that soon. Because
 I know one of the counselors at school called me, and then -because one of her teachers were concerned because she had
 said something about Dean, and it didn't seem like anybody
 really took too much concern in that.

- Q That wasn't my question, Miss Hamilton. Do you recall that on March 2 of 2007 Shannon discloses to a teacher at school that she was sexually abused and then Liz Chesebro comes out to your house that very same day to talk to Shannon about what she had just disclosed at school?
 - A It could have been that day. I know a teacher called, but I'm not sure if that was the day.
- Q And then, in fact, that same day, March 2, 2007,
 Liz Chesebro takes Shannon to the Norwich Police Department
 and she's interviewed by an investigator; do you recall that
 event?
- 12 A Yes, I do recall that.
- Q Okay. If that is correct, March 2, then Shannon would have been in your custody for a total of about five days, approximately, before disclosing the sexual abuse by her landlord, Dean Sacco?
- 17 A No. It was longer than five days.
- 18 Q So you think it was longer?
- 19 A Yeah.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

- 20 O How much longer do you think it was?
- 21 A We didn't know for -- I honestly couldn't say. It 22 seems like it was a lot longer than that.
- Q Okay. Miss Hamilton, when Shannon had told the teacher at school and then to Liz Chesebro about the abuse by her landlord, at some point around that time Liz actually

- told you, debriefed you generally about what Shannon had said
 happened to her?
- 3 A Yes, she did.
- 4 Q So you did -- Whenever that was --
- 5 A Right.
- 6 Q -- you did get the information from Liz that

Shannon had talked about being sexually abused by her

8 landlord?

- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q Now, did you know, Miss Hamilton, that Shannon had
- 11 been in the custody of a person named Renee Lang for about a
- 12 | six-week period?
- 13 A She had talked about that.
- Q Okay. Shannon had talked to you about it?
- 15 A Yes. Yep.
- Q And were you aware or did you know while Shannon
- was in Renee Lang's custody her mother, Linda O'Connor, had
- 18 told Shannon to tell caseworkers how badly she wanted to be
- 19 | with her mom and even tell the Family Court judge that she
- 20 | wanted to go back? Did you know any part of that history?
- 21 A No, I didn't know, no.
- 22 Q Did you know the particulars of why it was that she
- 23 | went to be or stay with this Renee Lang for about a six-week
- 24 or eight-week period?
- 25 A Something about the flood. They had no place to

- 1 go. That's what my understanding was.
- Q Okay. Did you have any information or did you know
- 3 that Shannon had gone to Renee Lang's because her mother had
- 4 | actually left her alone at home without any food and without
- 5 | any supervision?
- A No. Shannon said they had to go there after the
- 7 | flood and she had to stay there.
- 8 Q Okay. So you didn't have those particulars?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Now, you indicated that at some point Shannon got
- 11 | very angry at you?
- 12 A M-m h-m-m.
- 13 Q And that this angry episode had to do with when she
- 14 ran away or after she ran away and was brought back?
- 15 A I don't know why she got angry to us. We still
- 16 | don't know to this day why she got angry that day.
- 17 Q It happened after she ran away and got brought back
- 18 | to the house? I didn't say why.
- 19 A What happened --
- Q When Shannon got angry at you, it was after she had
- 21 | run away and got back to your house?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q I want to make sure I'm focusing on the same event.
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And then in her anger she says things about you and

- 1 | your husband, and you sat down with her --
- 2 A Right.
- 3 Q -- and had a talk with her, right?
- 4 A Right.
- Q And how long did it take you to wrestle the truth out of Shannon?
- 7 A Out of Shannon, it took a while. The other
- 8 children spoke up first, and they were the ones that said she
- 9 made them sign it, and then finally Shannon sat there,
- 10 | started crying, I just did it because like nobody's paying
- 11 enough attention, I can't see my mom and the caseworkers
- 12 | and --
- Q Okay. I take it this is all in the same day's
- 14 events?
- 15 A It was the next day.
- Q Okay. And Shannon then tells you she's sorry about
- 17 | what she did?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q She doesn't go on continuing to say, no, this is
- 20 | true and I'm going to tell the authorities --
- 21 A No.
- 22 | Q -- and I'm going to continue pressing on and --
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q -- and this is really what happened?
- 25 A No.

- Q I mean, I take it your interactions with Shannon, Shannon had, you know -- Shannon had a will of her own, but it wasn't like you had to, you know, do waterboarding on her or anything to do anything to come around and tell the truth?
- A No.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q She was a fairly straightforward kid once you --
- A Not always, no.
 - Q I mean, straightforward, telling you things accurately, but once you confronted her and once you got her to focus on what it is that you were having a problem with, she was a pretty easy person to get through to?
- MR. FISCHER: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the question. I don't really understand what it means.
- THE COURT: It's important that the witness
 does. I think it's objectionable. Try to rephrase it.

 BY MR. LOVRIC:
 - Q I guess what I'm asking, Miss Hamilton, when you had an issue with Shannon and you confronted it, it didn't take a very long time for you or your husband, for that matter, to get to the bottom of what the truth was?
 - A There were many times that it was hard to get the truth out of her, yes.
 - Q She would go on months and month?
- A Not months. Days she would go on. She would lie and she would manipulate people around her, you know, and

- eventually she'd come out, and even though I knew the truth -- excuse me -- even if I knew the truth, she would still stand there and she would lie.
 - Q Where do you think that came from?

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A I think it came from, after watching Shannon for the time she was in my home, and listening to her, I think it's -- Shannon wanted something that Shannon didn't have yet. I think she wanted a family, she wanted -- she wanted so much and -- but her -- the only positive thing in her life that she talked about all the time was her mom, to be back with her mom.
- Q Why was it that she was in your care if her mom was so wonderful?
- A Because of Pizza Hut, where her mom did not pay the bill at Pizza Hut.
 - Q For that she was taken away forever and ever from her mother?
- 18 A It wasn't supposed to be a forever and -- forever 19 thing.
 - Q Well, what happened, Miss Hamilton -- correct me if I'm wrong -- is, Shannon came into your care and stayed in your care for quite a long time because there were a lot of things that were discovered that her mother had done to her and the lifestyle that her mother exposed her to?

MISS PEEBLES: Objection.

2145

1	Q	Did you	know	that	or	didn't	you?
---	---	---------	------	------	----	--------	------

2 MISS PEEBLES: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q Well, did you know, for example, that her mother had exposed her to a vibrator all night while she's sleeping in the same bed with Shannon?

MISS PEEBLES: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. Specific instance.

A No, Shannon never said anything like that.

Q Not Shannon. Did you know it from anybody, not just what Shannon told you?

12 A No, no.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q Did you know, for example, that her mother had fed her alcohol on numerous occasions?

MISS PEEBLES: Objection.

16 THE COURT: Overruled. If she knows, she can tell us. If she doesn't know, she can tell us that.

18 A No, I don't know.

Q Did you know that her mother had allowed her to be exposed to pornography routinely on their home computer in Deposit, New York?

A No.

Q So, Miss Hamilton, I could go on with some of these questions, but my point to you and my question to you is: Is it a fair statement that there were a lot of things that you

- were not privy to that the health providers were in fact
 privy to? Is that a fair statement?
- A It's a fair statement, but I feel as a foster

 parent, because I've had children that I've known all that

 stuff like that about, that I feel Shannon came in and got

 underneath some wings and came up with these things because

 she could have been angry, she wasn't getting what she

 wanted, and Shannon was one that really wanted everything

 that second when she spoke of it.
 - Q And her mother gave it to her when she wanted certain things, did you know that?
 - A I did know that. Shannon told me that.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q Now, the people at the Greater Binghamton Health
 Center and the caseworkers that worked with Shannon, you
 discussed and talked to a number of them about her health
 care and also what kind of treatment she was getting, is that
 correct?
 - A Only to a couple. That was it.
- Q Were you aware that there were a team of health care workers that was providing for Shannon at various points in time at Greater Binghamton?
 - A When I went to a Binghamton meeting, yes.
- Q Miss Hamilton, would you agree that these folks
 that work at the Greater Binghamton Health Center and the
 caseworkers, with all due respect to your many years, they

- 1 have many, many children, cases that they worked on as well.
- 2 You don't know that. I'm just asking, from interaction with
- 3 them, you realize that they had many, many children and cases
- 4 | that they worked with?
- 5 A They have, yes. Yes. Shannon is unique in her own 6 way, and I learned that in a short time.
- 7 Q I appreciate that. I guess my question to you is:
- 8 If I understand you correctly, you have a problem with the
- 9 way the health providers either provided treatment to her or
- 10 | their assessments of her, is that a fair statement?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. So you have a problem with the way the
- 13 Greater Binghamton Health Center psychologist and therapists
- 14 | handled Shannon?
- 15 A I think it all comes back to mental health first in
- 16 | Norwich and then, yes, Greater Binghamton.
- 17 | Q In reviewing the DSS files, is it a fair statement
- 18 | that you often complained to Department of Social Services,
- 19 Liz Chesebro as well as health care providers through her,
- 20 | that you personally disagreed with how they were treating
- 21 | Shannon's mental health care and otherwise?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And you did that pretty routinely?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q I mean, you didn't like what they were doing and

- 1 how they were going about doing their business?
- 2 A Right.
- Q And, Miss Hamilton, is it fair to say that they,
- 4 | with all due respect to you, disagreed with your assessment?
- 5 A Yes, they did.
- 6 Q And they told you that?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q I understand you indicated you have 14 years of
- 9 foster care.
- 10 A Right.
- 11 Q Do you have a degree in psychology? Have you
- 12 | worked as a psychologist?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Have you worked as a social worker?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Have you worked in the DSS system?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Is it going too far, Miss Hamilton, to perhaps say
- 19 or asking you that your view of Shannon may be from a
- 20 | layperson's view but their view from a professional
- 21 | standpoint was a much different analysis of what was wrong
- 22 | with Shannon?
- 23 A Yeah.
- Q I mean, I'm asking you: Is it a fair statement
- 25 | that there may be a lot of things that are causing Shannon to

- want attention and causing her to act out that you simply
 aren't qualified to assess?
 - A That is true. That is true.
 - Q So, for example, when Shannon had this cheerleading episode, and had this attention-seeking event, is it fair to say that this may be just a tip of the iceberg of what's really going on in Shannon's mind as to why she's looking for attention, what is actually occurring?
 - A It could be, yes.
 - Q Is it possible that that's what these caseworkers and psychologists had a lot more experience in dealing with in getting to the root of what's really going on here with Shannon? Is that fair to say?
 - A That caseworkers in Binghamton?
- Q The social workers that worked with her in the
 Greater Binghamton, health care providers that worked with
 her.
- A Greater Binghamton, I'll say yes, but not her caseworker.
 - O You just have a difference of opinion on that?
- 21 A Yeah.
- 22 MR. LOVRIC: That's all I have. Thanks, Miss
- 23 | Hamilton.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 24 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Fischer?
- 25 MR. FISCHER: Thank you, your Honor.

- 1 | CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. FISCHER:
- Q Miss Hamilton, my name's Kelly Fischer. I
 represent Mr. Sacco. Can you tell the jury more details
 about what Shannon said. She accused you of, in some
 fashion, as I understand it, of some sexual misconduct?
 - A Right.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q Can you explain what happened.
- A Well, she ran away, and apparently she was just angry at myself and my husband for -- what we got out of her, she wasn't getting enough attention. So when they came back, she got attention from the police, she got attention from the caseworker. She got our attention. And she came back, and the next day she came up with this letter and stuff. When I read it, I was like, you've got to be kidding me, you know. And then, you know, after we found out the truth, I mean, she apologized right up until the day, you know, she left from the school.
- Q The letter, what did it say?
- 20 A That we beat them every day. We sexually abused 21 her, physically abused her. We didn't provide for her.
- 22 | O How long was the letter?
- 23 A Not very long at all.
- Q Who wrote the letter, if you know?
- 25 A I was told it was her and then I was told it was my

- 1 daughter.
- 2 Q Which daughter? I'm sorry.
- 3 A Mandy.
- 4 Q How old was Mandy?
- 5 A At that time she was 16.
- Q Did you bring that letter to the attention of any authorities?
- 8 A To her caseworker, I did.
- 9 Q So you addressed it with them?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
- 11 Q And at some point, as I understand it, Shannon
- 12 | recanted, am I correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q When was that in relation to when she wrote the
- 15 | letter?
- 16 A It was immediately.
- 17 Q And there were other kids living in your house at
- 18 | the time?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q Describe, if you can, please, how it came about
- 21 | that Shannon recanted.
- 22 A When the letter -- everybody's name was on there,
- 23 and I have children that, one, can't write or, two, that
- 24 can't read. And she had them sign their names to this to I
- 25 | guess -- you know, I guess she was going to turn us in or

- 1 | something. I honestly --
- Q Well, at some point did you have a conversation
 with Shannon where Shannon said, that's not -- that's not
 true what I said in the letter?
 - A With the whole group of our kids, and she was the very last one to admit. She was the last to admit to it.
 - Q Is it fair to say that only when she was confronted with overwhelming proof that what she was saying in the letter was false that she finally admitted?
- 10 A Yes.

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

- 11 Q Have you ever testified before?
- 12 A Nope.
- Q The birthday party, Shannon's birthday party that you testified to, you had a conversation with Shannon about her birthday party?
 - A We were going to the mall, and there was a Best Western that she saw off to the right, and she said that her and her mom went there for her birthday and that they invited the landlord. But he couldn't make it so just her and her mom stayed at Best Western. I don't know for how long or -- anything like that.
- Q What was Shannon's demeanor like when she spoke with you about that incident?
- 24 A She was -- it was so normal, I mean --
- 25 Q Did she seem to you happy or sad that Mr. Sacco

- 1 | couldn't come to her birthday party?
- 2 A She was sad that he could not come to her birthday.
- 3 Q How could you tell that?
- A Well, she told me that. She told me she was sad he could not make it to her birthday.
- Q And this was before Shannon's March 2, 2007 allegations against Mr. Sacco?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Were there other instances when Shannon said things
 10 that you observed to be not true?
- 11 A Yes. Yes.
- 12 Q You laugh.
- 13 A I do laugh, yes.
- 14 Q Can you explain why?
- 15 A Some of her stories were really outrageous. Well,
- 16 | she mentioned of her grandmother and a grandfather, and we
- 17 got talking, and she said that her grandfather was in the
- 18 | hospital and just -- her stories were just outrageous. And
- 19 then later on, she would come back and, you know -- the first
- 20 | story, he had liver problems, and then the second story it --
- 21 he was in there with cancer and that she used to visit him
- 22 | all the time before she came into care. She couldn't
- 23 | remember his name. She couldn't remember where they lived.
- 24 | I think there was more to it. But then she -- then she would
- 25 | talk about her landlord, how he would come out every so often

- and he would come down and have dinner with them or she would 1 sneak upstairs to visit him because her mom did not allow her 2 3 to visit him and she would go up there anyways. She would tell her mom she was going some place and she said she would 4 go up there. You know, I just -- then to find all this out, 6 I'm like, I just -- even before, I questioned a lot of things 7 that Shannon was sharing with us about. Number one, she said her mom never had food for her. Her mom could not provide a 8 9 house for her. Her mom couldn't provide clothing. 10 Shannon came into care, in the 14 years I've been there, she 11 is the only child I did not have to go out and shop for for 12 Shannon came with clothes with price tags on them. 13 Shannon never, in our household -- you know, she told the 14 caseworker and she told, you know, she didn't have food for 15 her, all this and that. Shannon always bragged about how her 16 mom would cook certain things and bake certain things and, 17 you know, they were always -- she always had enough food and, 18 you know, they had a place to live and --19 So Shannon on occasion would say that there was no food but on other occasions would say that there was plenty 20 21 of food?
 - A Right. If I made something, she would look, well, my mom made it such-and-such a way, you know, and they ate

2.2

23

24

25

out a lot.

Q Okay. When Mr. Lovric asked you some questions, he

Kim Hamilton - Cross

asked you about basically whether you had a problem with the way that the government worked with Shannon.

- A M-m h-m-m.
- Q And it seemed to me that you did have a problem with that.
- 6 A I did.
- 7 MR. LOVRIC: Objection. I didn't state
- 8 government.

3

- 9 THE COURT: Well, that's true. You were
- 10 | talking about people who were employed in the social services
- 11 | field and the health services field.
- MR. LOVRIC: I realize they worked for the
- 13 government.
- MR. FISCHER: I presumed, your Honor, but I
- 15 | can rephrase my question.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. Try that.
- 17 BY MR. FISCHER:
- 18 Q You familiar with Miss Chesebro?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Do you know who she works for?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 O Who?
- 23 A DSS of Norwich.
- 24 Q That's a government agency?
- 25 A I think so.

VICKY ANN THELEMAN, RPR, CRR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

- 1 | Q You had a problem with the way she handled things?
- A Not her. Just on some of the things I did because
 I felt like she was more Shannon's friend than her
 caseworker.
 - Q You've been a foster parent for 14 years, correct?
- 6 A Yes.

5

7

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- Q How many children have you been a foster parent to?
- 8 A I think we're up to 46 now.
- 9 Q You've probably -- withdraw that. Have you had
 10 some experiences in foster parenting those 46 children over
 11 the past 14 years that have given you some insight that maybe
 12 a DSS caseworker who's been on the job for a year might not
 13 have?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q You had some difficulty with the way that some of the people who were caring for Shannon took care of her, am I correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q What problem did you have with that?
 - A Once again, I felt like the caseworkers in Chenango County didn't ever want Shannon to go back to her mom. They always told Shannon her mom could not provide for her. Could not take care of her. Could not -- just everything, could not, could not, could not. And then when she cut herself, maybe it's wrong for me to say, but I think they were very

- 1 happy that something like that happened so --
- 2 MR. LOVRIC: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q Shannon cut herself in October of 2007, does that sound right?
- A It could be. I -- honestly, I've had numerous children in and out so --
- Q At some point Shannon was removed from your home and placed at the Greater Binghamton Health Center?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q When in relation to that placement are you talking 12 about with respect to Shannon cutting herself, soon before 13 or --
- 14 A She cut right before that.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A That's why she ended up down there.
- Q When Shannon was in your care from say late February 2007 until October of 2007, was Shannon being given
- 19 medications?
- 20 A No.
- Q You mentioned some things that you saw Shannon speak about that appeared to you not to be true?
- 23 A Right.
- Q Do you have other examples of, say, flights of fancy that Shannon engaged in?

Kim Hamilton - Cross

A Well, I had to supervise the phone calls between
her and her mom, and if we went some place and if she was
talking to my family or some friends and stuff, they would
come back to me and say, Shannon said such-and-such, I was
like, I'm on the other phone and she never did, and Linda
never said anything wrong or inappropriate or anything like
that to Shannon while being on the phone. Never talked about
the landlord. Never talked about you know, everything was
fine.

Q Shannon, when she was on the phone with her mom during these calls that you supervised, would lie to her mom?

A She wouldn't lie to her mom. She would lie to my family or if we went out to events and stuff, and she always met somebody like a friend or whatever, you know. And like she belonged to the Y camp, and she came home -- I guess she met this boy Casey, and she came back and one day -- one day, he beat me. I was like, he beat you at Y camp? Yeah, he's so mean. I was like, um. So I called, and there was no beating and, you know, apparently he wasn't even there. And so she switched jobs. It was a volunteer thing she did. So she switched jobs to stay at the job, to work in child care there. But then I was very uncomfortable because she fell in love with an EMS guy. She really adored him.

Q This was during the time that she was living in your home --

Caca 2:00 or 00077 T 1M	Document 172	Eilod 12/24/00	Dago 20 of 1/10
- Case 3.00-c1-00077-13M	Document 113	1 1100 12/24/00	1 age 30 01 140

Kim Hamilton - Cross

1 A Yes.

3 A Yes.

4 O What time of year was it that that occurred?

5 A During the summer break. I think it started like 6 in July and August it was.

7 MR. FISCHER: All right. Thank you, Miss

Hamilton. Those are all the questions I have.

9 THE COURT: Miss Peebles?

10 MISS PEEBLES: No questions. Thank you.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Lovric.

MR. LOVRIC: I have a few questions, Judge.

13 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. LOVRIC:

Q Miss Hamilton, you just indicated to Mr. Fischer

16 | that your daughter Mandy was one of the authors of that

17 letter?

8

18 A Yes.

19 Q In fact, you found that out later, that Mandy was

20 | the one that wrote that letter?

21 A I don't know to this day actually who wrote the

22 | letter. I know that my daughter Mandy cannot spell correctly

23 | at all. And everything was spelt perfect, handwritten.

Q Mandy is -- Mandy was 16 years old at the time?

25 A Yes.

- 1 And in fact, your daughter Mandy was somebody that had made false accusations before about a sexual abuse, is 2 3 that right? Α 4 Yes. 5 Q In fact, she had lied about a certain boy having sexually molested her, is that right? 6 7 Α Yes. And the police investigated that, the Norwich PD? 8 0 9 Α Yes. 10 Q And they actually found out that Mandy had lied and she confessed to lying? 11 12 Α Yes, she did. 13 And in fact, the Norwich PD arrested Mandy, right? 0 14 Yes, they did. Α 15 She was charged with filing a false report? 0 16 Yes. Α 17 And in fact, do you remember who the investigator Q 18 was that investigated that? 19 I don't. Α
- Q Would it refresh your recollection if I told you it was Detective Patrick Blenis?
- 22 A Yep.
- Q Investigator Blenis actually responded, interviewed
 Mandy when she first made the allegations, and then within
 about two days he resolved the case and that Mandy had lied,

- and she was then arrested, and he called you and told you that?
- 3 A Yes.

7

8

- Q And Mandy was the one that was with this group of kids at your house that got together and made these allegations against you, is that right?
 - A Mandy was with Shannon. The other -- the other children were not with them when they wrote the letter.
- 9 Q Well, Miss Hamilton, correct me if I'm wrong. It
 10 seems to me that your assessment is that Shannon is the root
 11 of all the problems with these kids. Am I getting that
 12 right?
- A No. Just the one letter; that was the only problem that she included all the children with.
- Q Well, how do you know Mandy wasn't the instigator
 in that and got all the other children involved and then
 Shannon took the fall for it?
- 18 A Well, because once again, Shannon said she wrote 19 the letter.
- Q Well, DSS at some point came in and investigated
 that -- if you want to call it an allegation, right, the
 allegation about you and your husband were involved in
 beating the kids or any kind of touching, and they quickly
 determined that was not just the case?
 - A Right.

	Case	3:08-	cr-00077-TJM Document 173 Filed 12/24/08 Page 41 of 148	
			Kim Hamilton - Recross 216	52
1	(Q	Isn't that right?	
2	1	A	Right.	
3	(Q	And DSS in fact determined and they told you that	
4	it was	s Mar	ndy that was behind the force of all the kids saying	3
5	this?			
6	i	A	I don't recall.	
7			MR. FISCHER: Your Honor, I object.	
8			THE COURT: Sustained.	
9	(Q	You didn't have that impression at all in your	
10	mind?			
11	i	A	Not at all, no.	
12	(Q	You had no such belief in your mind?	
13	1	A	No.	
14	(Q	Were you angry with DSS when they came in to	
15	exami	ne th	nis allegation?	
16	i	A	No.	
17	(Q	You weren't at all upset with them?	
18	i	A	Not with DSS, no.	
19	(Q	The way they handled it?	
20	i	A	I don't think so, no.	
21	(Q	You didn't have any kind of bad feelings about the	
22	fact	that	they maybe took this a little bit more serious than	ı

you would think they should have?

24 Α No.

23

25

Q You had no knowledge whatsoever as far as Mandy

> VICKY ANN THELEMAN, RPR, CRR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

- 1 being the person that started this whole thing?
- 2 A I don't believe Mandy started the whole thing.
- Q Well, Mandy's the one that lied about somebody having sexually assaulted her, isn't that true?
- 5 A That is true. Shannon also lied about other people also.
- Q Shannon lied about things that her mother cooked or didn't or food. I mean, when you confronted Shannon about this thing that she and the other kids got involved in, she fessed up, it wasn't like she went on for months and months and saying, oh, no, you really did this, did she?
- 12 A No, she didn't.

21

22

23

24

- Q I guess, Miss Hamilton, I'm just trying to find out from you, do you have a certain bent on Shannon?
- A No, I don't. I wanted Shannon to come back and live with us from the Greater Binghamton.
- Q And it was the Greater Binghamton health care providers that actually put a kibosh on that, is that correct?
 - A That is correct. Well, then they had spoken to me, because I'm not a therapeutic foster home, and they said that I could always have contact with Shannon, and I discussed it with my husband. I believe that we could have worked and stayed in touch with Shannon if we wanted to. But I have other children, and I don't want those other children to be

Kim Hamilton - Recross

2164

1	expose	d to	the	lie	s an	d	any	more	than	I	have	one	daughter
2	that d	id d	lo it	to 1	me a	nd,	you	know					

- O You've had foster kids before that have lied?
- 4 A Oh, yeah, but not like I feel like Shannon is now.
- 5 Q I'm sorry?

- A Not like I feel like Shannon has done now to her mom.
- Q Miss Hamilton, what is it you're talking about?

 You don't think Miss O'Connor sexually abused Shannon so

 that's why you're here saying, I think this is a lie?
- 11 A I don't know if she did or not, but Shannon never 12 mentioned it.
- Q She never mentioned it to you, but she mentioned it to a schoolteacher; were you aware of that?
- 15 MISS PEEBLES: Objection. Objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Overruled.
- MISS PEEBLES: That assumes facts not in evidence.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 20 A The teacher, yes. I do know about the teacher.
- 21 Q And she told Liz Chesebro about it?
- 22 A M-m h-m-m.
- Q But you're here testifying and saying you
 personally don't believe Shannon is telling the truth when
 she says that Miss O'Connor and Dean Sacco sexually abused

- 1 her, is that your --
- 2 A I wouldn't go as far as saying Dean. Her mom, in
- 3 | my heart, from the time Shannon lived with me, I -- I, in
- 4 | being a mother, I guess all I could -- Shannon was never,
- 5 | ever against her mom. I mean, minor things that all kids do
- 6 against their parents.
- 7 Q Miss Hamilton, my question was: Do you, as you sit
- 8 | there right now, believe that this person, Miss O'Connor,
- 9 sexually abused her daughter, Shannon?
- 10 A No, I don't.
- 11 Q And you base that on what?
- 12 A I guess I can base it on Shannon didn't say
- 13 anything. She didn't, so --
- Q So if she had been sexually abused, you think she
- 15 | was --
- 16 MR. FISCHER: I think she was interrupted in
- 17 giving her response to the question and ask she be permitted
- 18 | to answer the question.
- 19 THE COURT: If there was something else you
- 20 | wanted to add, you may add it. Do you recall?
- 21 THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay.
- 23 BY MR. LOVRIC:
- 24 Q So if I have it right, Miss Hamilton, you believe
- 25 | personally that if Shannon had been sexually abused by her

- 1 | mother, she would have told you?
- 2 A Yes.
- Q And because she didn't tell you, therefore you've come to the conclusion it's not accurate and she's making it
- 5 all up?

- 6 A I wouldn't say she's making it all up.
- 7 Q Well, which part --
- 8 A I would say she puts a lot more into it.
- 9 Q You don't believe Shannon is telling the truth
 10 about her mother sexually abusing her?
- 11 A I think Shannon down in Greater Binghamton had
 12 enough medication into her to make her say things that are
 13 untrue.
- 14 Q And you base that on what?
- 15 A From talking to Shannon on the phone, seeing
 16 Shannon down in Binghamton. She was not the same child that
 17 came into our house. She was so zoned out, she couldn't
 18 remember what happened the day before.
 - Q And you're an expert in what?
- 20 A I'm an expert at being a mother and a foster 21 parent.
- Q You're an expert in determination of treating sexual abuse victims by what standard?
- 24 A I'm not an expert in that.
- 25 Q Do you have any information or knowledge about how

- 1 | children who are sexually abused by parents disclose?
- 2 A I do have to take classes, I do take classes every
- 3 | year for many different things, for children with many
- 4 different problems. I have to sit and take classes, I do, to
- 5 be trained.
- 6 Q But your layperson opinion is simply that you don't
- 7 believe her.
- 8 A I don't believe everything that Shannon is saying
- 9 about her mom. With the landlord, if it's true, it's true.
- 10 Q How do you distinguish between what she says --
- 11 what you think she said about the landlord versus the mother?
- 12 A Because I never got the feeling from Shannon that
- 13 her mom ever did anything wrong.
- 14 Q Well, she never told you that Dean Sacco raped her,
- 15 | did she?
- 16 A No, she didn't.
- 17 Q Well, then how is it that you don't believe that
- 18 | part of it as well?
- 19 A Because when DSS said that Shannon had talked about
- 20 Dean --
- 21 Q Yeah.
- 22 A -- then all of that came out, but her mother was
- 23 | always there to protect her. Shannon always said that her
- 24 mom would protect her. If she did not sneak out of the house
- 25 and stuff to see the landlord, things might not have

9

10

- Q You assumed that her mother was there to protect

 her based on snippets of information that Shannon gave you,

 is that correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q I asked you all those things about whether you were
 aware of things that happened with her mother, and you
 weren't aware of any of those things.
 - A I didn't know about a lot -- I don't know about a lot of stuff that went on. I don't know anything about what happened with Dean.
- Q You don't know anything about what happened with anybody; you're just making these things up in your own head and saying, I don't think so, I don't think so.
- 15 A That is not true.
- MISS PEEBLES: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. LOVRIC: That's all I have, Miss Hamilton.
- THE COURT: Mr. Fischer?
- MR. FISCHER: Thank you, your Honor, yes.
- 21 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. FISCHER:
- Q Ma'am, in response to Mr. Lovric's question, he
- 24 mentioned snippets of information. Let me ask you this:
- 25 | Between February of 2007 and October of 2007, how much time

- 1 on say a weekly basis would you spend around Shannon?
- 2 A I was around Shannon and she was around us all the
- 3 | time except when she was in school or when she was
- 4 volunteering in the summertime, and then that was for only
- 5 | two hours a day so --
- 6 Q How frequently would DSS personnel get involved in
- 7 | interviewing Shannon during that time?
- 8 A Not often.
- 9 Q During that time you had an opportunity to observe
- 10 | Shannon's comportment, her demeanor --
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q -- and make assessments of her credibility during
- 13 | that time?
- 14 A M-m h-m-m.
- 15 Q The incident involving your daughter Mandy, Mandy
- 16 | made a false accusation, am I correct?
- 17 A Yes, she did.
- 18 Q Do you remember approximately when that was?
- 19 A I don't. It was I think in July.
- 20 | Q Summertime 2007?
- 21 A It could be. It was Gus Macker, so I think it was
- 22 July.
- 23 | Q This is when Shannon's living in your home?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q With Mandy?

	Case 3:08-cr-00077-TJM Document 173 Filed 12/24/08 Page 49 of 148
ļ	Kim Hamilton - Recross 2170
1	A Yes.
2	Q And Mandy was prosecuted for that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Shannon was aware that Mandy was being prosecuted
5	for making a false statement, am I correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And after that, Shannon did not recant and say, no,
8	those things that I said were not true, did she?
9	A Right.
10	MR. FISCHER: Those are all the questions I
11	have. Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Miss Peebles.
13	MISS PEEBLES: No further questions.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Lovric.
15	MR. LOVRIC: I have nothing further, Judge.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Miss Hamilton.
17	You may step down, ma'am.
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	(Witness excused)
20	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going
21	to ask you to step aside for just a moment and then we'll
22	bring you back and tell you what's going to happen next.
23	(Jury excused)
24	THE COURT: Miss Peebles, do you have any

VICKY ANN THELEMAN, RPR, CRR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

further evidence to present for us?

```
1
                   MISS PEEBLES: No, your Honor. At this time
    the defense on behalf of Mrs. O'Connor would rest.
 2
 3
                   THE COURT: All right. Miss O'Connor, you
    realize that it's your privilege if you so choose to take the
 4
 5
    witness stand and testify. Of course you don't have to.
    think that's been explained pretty much as we went along. I
 6
 7
    want to make sure you know you have the right to testify if
    you so choose. Do you wish to testify?
8
 9
                   DEFENDANT O'CONNOR: No, sir.
10
                   THE COURT: All right. Then what do you
11
    think, Mr. Lovric? We can't start one of your summations at
12
    this point probably. I mean, you're going to go past 12:30.
13
                   MR. LOVRIC: If we are breaking at 12:30, I
14
    will -- Judge, I'm happy to start if you'd like. I'm ready
15
    to go.
                   THE COURT: So there's no rebuttal evidence,
16
17
    as I understand that.
18
                   MR. LOVRIC: That's correct, Judge.
19
                   THE COURT: There's no evidence further from
20
    you, Mr. Fischer?
21
                   MR. FISCHER: No, your Honor.
                   THE COURT: So the evidence is closed.
2.2
                                                            The
23
    Court will reserve on all motions, right to make motions.
24
    Well, I guess we could start if it doesn't bother you we
25
    interrupt and then we have some more after lunch. Is that a
```

1 problem for you? MR. LOVRIC: That's not a problem. 2 In fact, 3 after lunch sometime I'll need to hook up my laptop anyway. So that will work out fine. 4 MR. FISCHER: Your Honor, may I -- I don't know whether we need to address the question of law 6 7 concerning the dominant purpose issues before --THE COURT: We do. That's right. Let's 8 9 take -- come back to chambers and I'll get that for you. 10 Thanks for reminding me. Forgot it. 11 (In chambers) THE COURT: Two matters have been changed. 12 13 discussed the one involving defendant's motivation for coming up here. 14 15 There has to be the words dominant motivation as that one Second Circuit case we discussed seemed to imply, 16 17 but an analysis of case law in other circuits, including the 18 Second Circuit as well as Supreme Court cases, we've come up 19 with language that we think is the correct statement of law, and it reads as follows, Mr. Fischer: 20 21 The second element requires the government to 2.2 prove that one of the motives or purposes for the travel was 23 to engage in illicit sexual conduct with another person.

government must show that one of the defendant's motivating

purposes for traveling across state lines was for engaging in

24

```
sexual activity with a minor. While the government need not
1
 2
    show that the illegal sexual conduct was the sole or only
 3
    purpose for the trip, it is not enough if you find that the
    illegal sexual conduct was merely incidental to the travel.
 4
 5
                    That came from that Second Circuit case.
    remember that?
 6
 7
                    MR. FISCHER: Yes, I do.
                    THE COURT: You want to take exception to
 8
 9
    that?
10
                    MR. FISCHER: No.
                                       I would request the
    dominant purpose language, and I guess to that extent I do
11
12
    need to take an exception.
13
                    THE COURT: Sure. You should.
14
                    MR. FISCHER: Okay. That's it.
15
                    THE COURT: Everybody asked for something on
16
    the transfer of the person.
17
                    The second element requires that the
18
    government prove that Defendant O'Connor sold or otherwise
19
    transferred custody or control over Shannon O'Connor to
20
    defendant Dean Sacco. The term transfer has its ordinary,
21
    everyday meaning. This includes causing custody or control
22
    to pass from one to another, handing over custody or control
23
    or otherwise causing custody or control to be passed on or
24
    handed over to another.
25
                    So really, it's just kind of common sense
```

```
stuff.
1
                    Anything further before we start, do you
 2
 3
    think?
                    MR. LOVRIC:
 4
                                 No.
 5
                    MR. FISCHER: No, I don't think so.
                    MISS PEEBLES: No.
 6
 7
                    (Discussion held off the record)
                    (Jury present)
 8
                    THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen.
 9
10
    The evidence in the case is before you, and at this time it
11
    becomes appropriate that the attorneys address you with their
12
    closing arguments. Now, they're also called summations.
13
    closing argument is just like the opening argument; it's not
14
    evidence. You heard the evidence from the witnesses and you
15
    have the exhibits that you'll be able to take back to the
16
    jury room to use in any way you see fit. So what the lawyers
17
    are going to try to do now is to persuade you, from the point
18
    of view of their clients, what they feel you should find the
19
    facts to be. And once again, it's not evidence. It's
20
    argument or persuasion. If it helps you, take advantage of
21
    it. If it doesn't, just your own good common sense and
    decide the case based upon the evidence and the law.
2.2
23
                    And in the federal system, the prosecutor goes
24
    first, then defense counsel sums up for you, and the
25
    prosecutor then has a rebuttal summation, which is supposed
```

```
to be short. If not, it will come at the end of summations.
1
                   We're going to start now with Mr. Lovric and
 2
 3
    we're going to break in about 15 to 20 minutes.
                                                      I have a 1
    and 1:30, and we'll come back right after that and continue
 4
 5
    with the summations.
                    So, Mr. Lovric, are you prepared to address
 6
 7
    the jury?
                   MR. LOVRIC: Yes, I am, Judge.
 8
 9
                    THE COURT: Okay. You may do that.
10
                   MR. LOVRIC: May it please the Court, ladies
11
    and gentlemen: I want to take a little time and it's going
12
    to take obviously after lunchtime to talk with you and go
13
    through what I want to piece together for you as far as what
    I submit all of the evidence shows to you.
14
15
                   Now I want to talk about a couple of things on
    the front end, and the first thing I do want to tell you, and
16
17
    I think speak for all of us here, we do really thank you.
18
    are grateful. We usually don't say that. You've taken about
19
    four weeks of your life. You've sat here very attentive,
20
    you've listened, and you've performed what is probably one of
21
    the greatest duties of our civic society. So we don't
22
    overlook that. We don't -- you know, you're not just potted
23
    plants here, obviously, but I think all of us want to make
24
    sure that you recognize that we recognize how important a
```

service you provide and we look at it, the criminal justice

1	system could not function without you. This decision is not
2	going to be made by me or Judge McAvoy or the defense
3	attorneys. You're going to make the decision. And
4	thankfully, it won't be made by Miss Hamilton, who I'll
5	address in a few minutes. This is your decision. You're
6	going to decide whether these defendants are guilty or not
7	guilty of these crimes. That's the way it should be, because
8	you have been chosen as impartial people from the community
9	with good common sense, and you're going to apply that good,
10	as I call it, horse sense and come to a decision. So, I
11	don't say it lightly when I say, thank you for all the time
12	you put into it and thank you for the four, almost four weeks
13	now that you've been sitting here.
14	The one thing I do want to tell you right up
15	front is the little bit that you got to know me, which is
16	very little, I don't beat around the bush. I'm going to tell

front is the little bit that you got to know me, which is very little, I don't beat around the bush. I'm going to tell you exactly what I believe the evidence shows you and I'm going to tell you exactly why I submit that's what the evidence shows you. I'm not going to beat around and try to say things without saying them. I don't work that way. I'm going to tell you exactly what I see and what I believe it shows, and it will be for you to determine whether you believe that's valid or if it makes sense or if, using your logic, that squares with your view of the evidence. But the one thing you're going to find in this summation is, I'm

1 going to be very direct.

And with that, I'm going to start with Miss Hamilton, who was the last witness. Before Miss Hamilton testified, as you can probably imagine, all of us here have a fairly good idea of what most witnesses are going to say, and the way you can kind of figure that one out is, there are some reports and documents, and guess what, we all have them, and you saw a lot of those things floating around and passed around and witnesses being asked about. So before Miss Hamilton testified, I had a pretty good idea of what I thought she would say.

Now I'm going to submit to you, here's what happened with Miss Hamilton's testimony. And I really didn't get this until at the end part of it. She came here with an ax to grind, unfortunately. Do I submit to you or am I telling you that Miss Hamilton is a bad person? Absolutely not. Miss Hamilton is one of many people out there that performs an invaluable service. She's a foster parent. She takes kids that otherwise are falling off the edges of the earth, takes kids that society has otherwise lost. So is she a bad person? No. But what I do submit to you is, I think -- and I submit, if you look at it, Miss Hamilton came in here, unfortunately, with an edge and an ax, and that has clouded her judgment and, more importantly, I submit to you, has clouded her recitation of how she observed things and

1 what she observed.

Miss Hamilton, in her lay opinion, however 2 3 that may be, has come to the conclusion that Linda O'Connor is innocent. Miss Hamilton, based on what I asked her, with 4 5 really nothing more than her gut and feelings as a foster parent, does not believe that Linda O'Connor sexually abused 6 7 Shannon, and she has made that decision in her mind. And there is nobody on this planet that will move her from that. 8 And she's entitled to that. That's her personal opinion. 9 10 But in here, it's really irrelevant. And why does it come up 11 in here? Well, the reason I brought it out when it finally dawned on me, hit me, that is really what's driving her. 12 13 reason it was important to get that out is because that's 14 what's driving her perceptions of Shannon. And that's what's 15 driving some of the things that she is now saying she 16 observed or believed of Shannon. And I submit to you, 17 unfortunately, Miss Hamilton butted heads with DSS. She 18 butted heads with the Greater Binghamton psychologist and 19 caseworkers, all of whom, if you sit back and you step back, 20 are light years more experienced and knowledgeable on how to 21 treat children who have been sexually abused. It seems to me, from what Miss Hamilton said, she is kind of annoyed that 22 Shannon didn't confide in her. Didn't tell her, Dean Sacco 23 has been raping me and my mother has been sexually abusing 24 25 See, she has somehow taken that on and saying, well, if

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it really happened, we had such a close relationship of
sorts, she would have told me this, and she didn't. And
because of that, Miss Hamilton, I submit to you, has come in
with a skewed hindsight view of Shannon.

Now I'm going to talk about Shannon later on. Shannon is not a perfect kid. And I'm going to go through and explain to you what I submit has happened to Shannon. And as she sits here, wherever she sits today, and as she sat here in court, is Shannon your model child? Absolutely not. There are a lot of issues with Shannon and a lot of problems, and I'm going to go through those with you, what Miss Hamilton I believe has projected this aura of what Shannon was like and what she was about in hindsight after Miss Hamilton, who wanted to adopt Shannon at one point -- you heard Liz Chesebro tell you that, if you go back in your notes, and Miss Hamilton wanted that to happen. And who really put the kibosh on that? Well, she started to even tell you here, it was DSS and GBHC, the health center that stopped, said, that's not going to work, adoption of Shannon in her state and her issues is not going to be a possibility, and she didn't like that. She didn't like to hear that. told you, she's adopted three of her six foster children. You know what, I really don't like doing this, because that is wonderful. That is terrific. But for her to come in here and based on her personal, lay opinion, that she doesn't

think that that person is quilty of sex abuse and could not

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 have done it, and then to try to make it sound like really

3 | Shannon lies about a lot of things, you know what, show me

4 one kid out there -- show me one adult out there that doesn't

5 lie on a routine basis. You've got to ask yourself the

6 question, what do they lie about and how long do they lie

7 about it and under what conditions do they continue to lie

8 about it? We're going to discuss those things.

But Miss Hamilton came here today with an ax and with a skewed, ticked-off view of how she was treated through the DSS system and the Greater Binghamton Health Center. And that's the problem. That whole thing with that note and what those kids did, if you go back, you'll remember that Liz Chesebro was actually asked about that by I think Miss Peebles, about this event that happened at the Hamilton house. And if you also go back, you'll also notice nobody asked Shannon about this event. Not Mr. Sacco, not Miss O'Connor. They didn't want to give Shannon the opportunity to talk about this. So what you do is you find it out through Miss Hamilton, and really what you find is that Mandy, her daughter, had accused someone falsely of sex abuse and Detective Blenis, the same investigator you saw, investigated that matter and found it to be false within a couple of days and arrested Mandy and charged her for it. And I submit to you, Miss Hamilton is a little burned up over

Τ	that, that her daughter gets arrested and charged over maybe
2	something that she thought should have just been bygones and
3	bygones, kids tell lies they fib. Once they got why are
4	you going to prosecute, why are you going to arrest this
5	person, regardless whether she's prosecuted. So that's the
6	genesis of this problem. And when I started to poke around
7	and ask Miss Hamilton, really wasn't it Mandy who came up
8	with this crazy thing because if you remember, Mandy's the
9	first one that got Shannon to run away with her on this
10	event. How do you know that? Well, Liz Chesebro told Miss
11	Peebles that when Miss Peebles cross-examined her. And
12	really it was Mandy that was the instigator in that runaway
13	thing and Shannon kind of tagged along, went along. Not that
14	Shannon is is to be excused for that, but that's really
15	what went on behind the scenes here. And then I submit to
16	you what you probably find is, if you look really deep and
17	hard, is Mandy's the one that got all these kids, because now
18	Mandy is ticked off at Miss Hamilton for calling the police
19	on her and Shannon after they ran away to this bowling alley.
20	And so Mandy, 16 years old, who's already had sex with a
21	person that she accused falsely of a forceable rape, is
22	really the one that's kind of driving the ship. But you know
23	what, I didn't push it because I think it's crystal clear.
24	Miss Hamilton, nice person, nice lady, I think is being
25	driven by her own personal view of what she thinks happened

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here and her own personal assessment that if Shannon really
was abused by her mother and by the landlord, she would have
told her first. I think that bothers her. And that being
said, folks, that's what I submit to you is the assessment of
Miss Hamilton and what happened here.

Let me talk about Shannon. If you remember Renee Lang, Renee Lang talked about when Shannon was a young girl. She talked about her and said, you know, she was a beautiful little kid. She played with her dolls, she was just a regular kid. Just a regular girl. And Miss Lang was talking about things that she observed Shannon when she was about 8 years old, 9 years old over at Pine Street when they first became reacquainted. Shannon was just an innocent little kid. If you go back and you look at the little bits and pieces of what you know about Shannon, she is like everybody's kid. She was no different than any other kid that you may encounter in your own families or in your neighborhood. She was just this little, as I put it, this little crystal statue that God created, just like every little kid. They look perfect. Nothing wrong with them. Think of kids when they're 5 years old, 4 years old. Kids are not born deviant. Kids are not born criminals. know, sometimes in my line of work we sometimes say, nobody ever grows up as a kid saying, I want to be a sexual predator. I want to be a drug dealer. I want to be a bank

5

6

7

8

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of years.

- robber. I want to be a homicidal maniac who kills somebody
 for no reason. What happens to those beautiful little kids?
 Well, two things happen to them: family and society. And
 those two forces change those beautiful little kids.
 - And that's what happened to Shannon. Shannon was changed from the time that she was a beautiful little kid by a couple of different people, and two of those people are sitting in this courtroom: Mr. Sacco, Miss O'Connor.
- 9 I'll tell you another thing. I told you, I 10 don't pull punches. If Mr. Lang was alive today, he'd be 11 sitting right in the middle there. And if I could find or if 12 Agent Lyons could find those two men at the Best Western, 13 they'd be sitting at either side of Miss O'Connor and Mr. 14 Sacco. Those people are the ones that destroyed Shannon. 15 Those are the people, Mr. Sacco, Miss O'Connor, that shattered that beautiful piece of artwork. They raped her, 16 17 they sexually abused her. They molested her. Shannon
 - Now, when Shannon O'Connor appeared to testify before you, that's her today. Is she perfect? Absolutely not. Does she have scars? Absolutely. Shannon has been smashed, her heart and her soul, so many times, you literally -- if you look at her, you can see the cracks in Shannon. You can see how she's been just dropped. Dropped

O'Connor was sexually molested by her own mother for a period

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and crushed. And then reglued again. How was she reglued by her own mother? Her own mother does things, come back and bring her and put her back together again. Her mother, by buying her things, by quilt-tripping her, by putting all sorts of pressures on a little kid, her mother keeps gluing that glass Hummel, if you want, to put it together again. So today, when Shannon -- I don't mean today; figuratively, when she appeared to testify, Shannon is what she is. But you've got to ask yourselves, how did she get there? What made her that way? Who is responsible for the way she is today? And these two defendants here are part of the people involved in breaking her. They stomped on her soul. They stomped on her heart. Linda O'Connor molested Shannon. She then went on to 14 allowing other men to molest her. She then engaged in sex with Shannon with George Lang, with Dean Sacco. She pimped her out for money. And my point to all of this is: They broke

her and smashed her and reglued her, and then they have the audacity, which they will when they sum up, to argue to you that you should not believe her because she is so damaged. You should not believe her because she is so flawed. You should not believe her because she has mental issues. has psychological problems. You shouldn't believe her because she is not that perfect little girl testifying and telling you these things. You shouldn't believe her because

she's told lies in the past. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ask yourselves this question: What would you expect a little girl at the age of 10, 11 and 12 to be like after being molested by her mother? Think about it. Step back out of your own body and say to yourself, what do you personally think a kid would end up being if their mother began to come to the bathroom at the age of 10? This little girl is 10 years old and her mother starts coming in and rubbing her vagina, rubbing her breasts. She then takes her into the bedroom. What do you think happens to a little girl when that happens to her? What do you expect that person to start to grow up as? And then I want you to ask yourself another question: What would have happened if Shannon got on 14 the stand here and she's perfect? Never been to a psychologist. Never had any therapy. No nightmares. voices. No nothing. She's perfect. Those folks over there would say, you've got to be out of your mind. This kid was raped, molested, repeatedly and nothing's wrong with her? They would argue just the opposite to you. And you, rightfully so, would probably say, how can that be? How can you be subjected to something like that and not have fallout? Not have things that showed that you have gone through hell and back? And that would be a legitimate issue and a legitimate concern.

1	through what Shannon described to you to be like today? I
2	submit to you, it is a miracle and it's an absolute miracle
3	that she's alive, let alone able to tell you what happened,
4	let alone able to muster up the courage. How much courage do
5	you think it took for Shannon to disclose about what her
6	mother did to her? This is not something that you disclose
7	lightly. It's not something that you just say, well, yeah
8	when I was 10, my mother started rubbing me. Imagine how
9	much energy and how much strength it took and then imagine
10	how much strength it took for her to get up on the stand and
11	describe these things to a bunch of strangers, to the media
12	as they printed in the paper every day, and for her to stick
13	with coming in and saying, I'm going to tell it, I'm going to
14	finally tell everybody?
15	THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a break
16	now. It's about 20 minutes to 1. We'll see you back at 20
17	minutes to 2 and we'll begin then.
18	(Lunch break taken)
19	(Jury present)
20	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Lovric, you
21	prepared to continue?
22	MR. LOVRIC: Yes, Judge.
23	THE COURT: You may do that.
24	MR. LOVRIC: Ladies and gentlemen, I put on
25	the monitor Do you have a picture on your monitors? I put

her and has happened to her.

- on the monitor one of the three photographs that I introduced into evidence of Shannon. And when I left off with you before lunchtime, I was talking about this notion of how Shannon appears today and when she testified before you and how that is consistent with everything that has been done to
 - If you remember, I talked about how unusual it would be and how, rightfully so, we'd all probably step back and say, you know, there's something wrong if she presented herself as being completely normal and completely well adapted to society and not have any kind of fallout issues or problems.
 - And so you look at this case, one of the things that I do ask yourself -- ask you to ask yourself is, is: What I, meaning you the juror, is what you saw of Shannon and how she presented, is that consistent or inconsistent with a child at the ages of 10, 11, 12, and 13 who has been horrifically raped and sexually abused? Because that answer, if you look at it, and that analysis, if you look at it, can help you to determine, is this consistent to what you and your common sense would expect to find, and that nobody can lie to you about, or hide from you or in some way paint it a different picture.
 - Shannon, I think you'll find, all of us probably, if we agree on anything in this courtroom, is that

1	Shannon has a cartload of issues and problems that she's
2	facing emotionally; psychological, even physical issues. And
3	then you've got to ask yourself: Why? Why does she have all
4	these? She didn't have anything prior to Norwich, New York.
5	You can bet your bottom dollar, had she had a long history of
6	either psychological issues or psychiatric issues, you would
7	have seen records by the truckload if they existed. And in
8	fact, I think one of the attorneys actually asked either Liz
9	or Naomi if she had anything like that prior to Norwich? No,
10	she didn't. Well, that tells you something. That tells you
11	something about, when does Shannon start to unravel at the
12	seams? What is going on? Shannon wasn't born this way.
13	Shannon wasn't put on this earth with all of these issues and
14	problems. Linda O'Connor did to Shannon things that caused
15	Shannon to very quickly in her short life become what she is
16	today. And that, I tell you, is very consistent with all the
17	things Shannon says were done to her.
18	And the other part of this thing that I'd like
19	you to focus on, this is what predators and child sex
20	offenders rely on. If you think back, Renee excuse me.
21	Shannon told you about what George Lang and what Linda
22	O'Connor told her. If she thought about telling anybody.
23	Nobody will believe you. Dean Sacco said that to her:
24	 Nobody will believe you. Dean Sacco said a lot of other

25

things to Shannon, including kill her and stab her and then

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rape her as she's dying. But that's what sex abusers and sex offenders count on. They count on the child not telling anybody because nobody will believe them. And that's what these two defendants did. They counted on that, and Linda O'Connor especially counted on that.

One of the other things I think presents itself in this case is the defense, and I think there's this notion, whether they say it or not, I submit to you, this is one of the over kind of riding clouds that they did like to, but in a subtle way perhaps, this notion is that this is horrific. I mean, think about Linda O'Connor, a mother sexually abusing her only female child for years. Having oral sex with her in the bedroom. Having her perform oral sex on her. It is so horrific, the average mind, the average person says it can't happen. This doesn't happen. Ι imagine at one point in time humanity didn't think incest happened. It's such a taboo. And part of what I think the defense would like you to think about is, this is so heinous a crime, it's inconceivable. It is not possible that one human being, let alone a parent, could have done this to their own female child, their only daughter. But, you know, folks, step back for a minute. Step back into reality and step back into common sense land. What human beings do to other human beings -- and we know they do -- sometimes is absolutely mind boggling. It's horrific. It sometimes

_	defines not just rogic and common sense, but we wonder, are we
2	really humans? How can we do something like that to a human
3	being? The Holocaust. How do you kill 6 million people and
4	burn them? It's beyond belief, but it happened. Human
5	beings did that to human beings. Just because it's horrific,
6	doesn't mean it didn't happen. Step back on things that
7	you've learned in your life. Father rapes daughter and then
8	hangs her to cover up the rape. It happens. It's horrific.
9	Just because this is horrific and the way Shannon told you
10	the things that happened, doesn't mean that they didn't
11	happen. It means we like to think that something like that
12	could not be done to a child or another human being, but it
13	happens. Mother drowns five children in the bathtub and then
14	calls police. That happened. It happens. Don't fall into
15	the trap of thinking it's so bad, it must not be true.
16	Shannon endured two days of cross-examination
17	and direct examination in this case. And before that she was
18	interviewed by law enforcement and she was interviewed by not
19	only health care providers and psychologists but other
20	persons who she had to tell these horrific details. And
21	think about it for a second. Do children lie? Absolutely.
22	I think we've all caught our kids telling a lie, a fib. Do
23	they lie about some important things sometimes? Absolutely.
24	Sometimes the older they get, the better they get at it. But

25

you know what, folks, if you step back for a second and you

say to yourself, let me think about what Shannon said and 1 what she said happened, you know -- first of all, think about 2 3 it. She's not making up, as the defense would like you to think, she's not lying about something that somebody else 4 5 did. Like I saw my mother snorting cocaine or I saw her distributing cocaine or selling cocaine. I saw her. I saw 6 7 I saw them. That's not what Shannon's saying. Shannon is telling you things that she is right in the middle 8 of. And think how not only difficult but how inconceivable 9 10 it is for someone, an adult, for example, to put themselves 11 into something that they're describing somebody else did but 12 they are at the center of the action. They're at the center 13 of the horrific thing that happened. That goes against the 14 grain of everything every one of us is made of. When we lie 15 about something, when we make something up, it's always, oh, 16 I saw him do this. What a bad person he is. Or so-and-so 17 did this to someone else. But it's almost rare, if not 18 unheard of, that someone is going to put themselves in the 19 middle of this thing that was done and lie about it and make 20 it up when they are the ones that are being sexually 21 penetrated or abused or raped or molested by their own 22 parent. That just makes no sense whatsoever and it runs 23 against the grain of everything that we learned from 24 childhood on to adulthood and until the day we die. 25 Shannon's sexual abuse, I submit to you,

1	caused a number of things to happen to her. First of all,
2	take a look at when this started. Okay. It starts at an
3	early age, when Shannon is still just a child. She starts
4	around 10 years old. It will be different if this starts
5	around 16, 17 years old, 18. Children, teenagers at that
6	point have developed a sense, a good sense of right and
7	wrong. Seventeen-year-old knows right from wrong,
8	18-year-olds know when somebody's doing something that's
9	wrong. Think about it. Think about your own experiences as
10	a child, 9, 10 years old. What is your parent? They're your
11	world. The world revolves around you and your family, your
12	parent. Your parent is there as your security blanket. They
13	protect you. You place your life in their hands. I remember
14	as a kid thinking my parents could never die. You don't
15	think about that when you're 9 or 10 years old. Your mom and
16	your dad can never die. They can never be hurt. They're
17	kind of like that force field in Star Wars nothing can come
18	through. They protect the family. They protect a child.
19	You have this enormous security blanket around you as a kid,
20	and that's why you're oblivious to the world as a 9-, 10-,
21	11-year-old. The world is fine because your parents are the
22	ones that are shielding all that bad stuff from you.
23	Now imagine what happens to Shannon when that
24	force field just disappears like somebody blew it out of the
25	sky. She goes from believing she has a loving mother, a

Τ	parent that is not going to let anybody harm her, to having a
2	parent that is doing the harm. Her mother is the predator.
3	She's taught in school there are those predators out there,
4	be on the lookout. Don't let them do anything to you. Stay
5	close to your mom, stay close to your family. What happens
6	when that occurs to Shannon? All of a sudden her security
7	blanket, everything is gone. The world is one big nasty
8	world, including her mother, who is the sexual abuser. She
9	loses complete trust; that bond between daughter and mom is
10	gone. She has no trust in anybody. If you can't trust your
11	own mother, who are you going to trust, as a 10-year-old kid?
12	It's not like the next door neighbor came and sexually abused
13	you, you run to your mom, he or she did this to me. Protect
14	me. It's your own mother that took you into the bedroom and
15	abused you. Shannon's trust of anything and anybody in the
16	world collapsed completely. Shannon saw her mom as her
17	security blanket, and within the first few times of sexual
18	abuse, Shannon has no idea where security is in the world.
19	And that's what happens to a sexually abused child at the age
20	of 10. That's important, because at that age, what is going
21	on with Shannon? Well, she's just kind of figuring out what
22	the world is like. Who to trust, who not to trust, right and
23	wrong. 10 years old, right and wrong, they know the big
24	things. You know it's wrong to kill someone, it's wrong to
25	steal. But as far as they can trust who's giving them good

moral instructions and good societal norms to follow, that's 1 basically parents and a few teachers here and there that they 2 3 come across in school. And when that is completely knocked down. Shannon has no idea where and how she fits into the 4 5 world and where she's going. And that is why, when this starts to happen to Shannon, it is completely logical that 6 7 she is not only not going to tell anybody, who's she going to tell? She has nobody. She doesn't even have a father to 8 9 She doesn't have an aunt, an uncle. Nobody. Who is 10 she going to tell? Who does she have that trust relationship 11 with, to be able to say, Mom is doing stuff to me? She has 12 nobody. Her mother's her whole world. And to this day, 13 Shannon struggles with that, and you saw that. To this day 14 she still loves her mom. Her mom is it. She has nothing 15 left in the world. Ray O'Connor, whether he's her father or not, is in prison for a homicide. She has no other family. 16 17 She has nothing. And it's under those circumstances that 18

And it's under those circumstances that

Shannon goes through those first couple of years sexually

abused by Linda O'Connor. She keeps it quiet. She worries

about her mom. You heard testimony from Shannon. From time

to time Shannon is -- how her mom's health is doing. Shannon

is almost like a caretaker to her mom. She takes on the role

of being a mom to her mom. And in some ways, I submit,

Shannon recognizes that Linda O'Connor's a complete failure

19

20

21

22

23

24

Τ.	as a parenc. And snammon cakes on this, I ve got to think
2	about my mom. I can't think about myself. If I tell law
3	enforcement, I'll lose my mom, figuratively and literally.
4	They'll be taking her away. They'll arrest her. Shannon
5	knows what happens to people that sexually abuse children.
6	You know, she isn't under some delusional thought if her mom
7	gets a fine and gets a ticket. Give me a break. Shannon
8	knows exactly what the stakes are here. She knows exactly
9	what her mom will be facing based upon these actions and this
10	conduct. But for the longest time Shannon protects her mom.
11	She doesn't want to tell on her mom. Why? She doesn't want
12	to lose her mother. She loves her mother, despite all the
13	things she did to her and with other people to Shannon. And
14	to this day she is broken over those two competing forces in
15	her life. Shannon would love nothing more, I submit to you,
16	than to have all this be able to be made better. She would
17	like nothing more to go back with her mom and have her mom
18	change and be different and not be sexually abusing her.
19	What you saw finally, she's come to the realization that's
20	not going to happen. In fact, Shannon said to you when they
21	moved to Norwich and her mother told her things will be
22	different now, and Shannon took that to mean no more George
23	Lang abuse. No more sexual abuse. We will start anew.
24	The person that Shannon is today, I submit to
25	you, she's a direct reflection of Linda O'Connor. Wherever

1	you saw and found Shannon telling lies, stealing,
2	manipulating, who taught her those things? Well, you can
3	look at Exhibit 64 excuse me 60, where Linda O'Connor
4	writes a letter to Ray O'Connor and she says in that letter,
5	I will go into court humbly and apologize to the Court for
6	teaching Shannon how to lie, sneak and how to go against
7	Social Services rules. Shannon wasn't born a liar. Shannon
8	wasn't born a manipulator. Shannon wasn't born as somebody
9	who stole. Linda O'Connor taught her all those things. And
10	if you remember Renee Lang's diary, she writes in there,
11	Linda O'Connor is known for phony illnesses, faking things
12	when it suits her needs. And I submit, based on what you
13	have learned about Linda O'Connor, she is just that. She's a
14	manipulator, she's a liar. She's a person that steals. She
15	does whatever it takes to survive. So whatever you may think
16	of Shannon, ask yourself: Who made her that way? Before you
17	start to judge Shannon's character, ask yourself, how did
18	this little kid get to be the way they are?
19	I submit to you, what you saw in this case
20	during the course of the trial from the defense and this
21	is me pointing this out to you. Told you on the front end, I
22	don't play games. I don't pull punches. So I'm telling you,
23	this is my observations. You agree with me or not, that's
24	your choice and your decision. But what you saw in this case
25	was the blame game. Okay. Linda O'Connor has basically

1	blamed everybody. It's everybody's fault and they're all
2	conspiring against her. Linda O'Connor blames Naomi,
3	Elizabeth Chesebro, Amanda Hartman, Lydia Smith, Denise
4	Oliver, all the folks at DSS, all the supervisory people that
5	had a hand in how Shannon was going to be treated. All the
6	folks at Greater Binghamton Health Center. All the
7	psychologists. It's all their fault. They conspired and got
8	Shannon to say things and gave Shannon ideas on what to say
9	things and basically let Shannon make all this stuff up in
10	order to get back at Linda O'Connor. That's what, I submit
11	to you, you heard from about a week's worth of testimony.
12	And of course on top of that, people lie. So, Linda O'Connor
13	would like you to believe Shannon is just lying outright.
14	Elizabeth Chesebro has either lied or painted a picture in
15	ways to get you to believe Shannon. Naomi Panus has either
16	lied or painted a false picture. And that's what it all
17	boils down to for Linda O'Connor. DSS, Greater Binghamton,
18	all these people, and then on top of that, Shannon. They all
19	manufactured and manipulated the whole process in order to
20	falsely accuse Linda O'Connor. And I ask you to ask
21	yourselves, step back for a moment. Does that in any way
22	make any sense? An entire and it's not a government
23	agency, it's a county agency. DSS is a county agency. An
24	entire agency is going to fabricate and allow fabrication of
25	sexual abuse and rape of a child? Greater Binghamton

psychologists and social workers are going to go along, yeah, 1 2 yeah, whatever the kid says, yep, that's exactly what we'll do. You think they'll just do that? For what? It's a 3 4 conspiracy theory. Mr. Sacco, on the other hand, no different, I 5 submit to you. It's the blame game and the lie game. 6 7 Shannon lied about everything. According to the defense, as they see it. The condom in Mr. Sacco's storage unit is not 8 9 his. I counted the ways of what Mr. Sacco wants you to 10 believe. It's not his condom; it's Clesson Lockwood's 11 condom. Oh, you don't believe that? It's not Clesson's 12 condom; it's his son's condom. You don't believe that? 13 not Clesson or his son's; it's the other workers that were 14 there from time to time, it's their condom that they used to rape Shannon. Let's see. I think those are all the various 15 16 theories of the condom. I don't think it takes any stretch 17 of common sense to conclude that condom is Sacco's condom. 18 It was in his dresser in an envelope, box inside his dresser 19 with materials that all belong to him. 20 Everything in that autobiography is fiction. 21 None of it is true, according to Mr. Sacco. Everything in 2.2 his journal, Government Exhibit 34, is just fiction. 23 Mallory Monagan, she, of course, is lying.

She's a liar. And Mr. Sacco never ever grabbed her vagina or 24 25

rubbed her breasts.

David Pandiscia, to whom Mr. Sacco said that he had an attraction to 8-, 9-, 10- and 11-year-old girls, is a liar too, of course, because that didn't happen.

Gerardo DiFiori, he's a liar too. Mr. Sacco never confessed to him and Mr. Sacco never said to him that he had sex with a 12-year-old girl in Upstate New York who was a prostitute and who had called him a couple days earlier trying to get him to talk on the phone and incriminate himself.

Amanda Rising also, of course, is a liar. Mr. Sacco went to -- US passport, that's a forgery. He never went to the DR. The photographs that we showed Amanda Rising of 15- and 17-year-old girls that he had sex with, that's all a big lie too.

AUSA Adam Lori, a federal prosecutor that came up here, he's lying too. He has to be. Because Mr. Sacco definitely never was quizzing Mr. Lori about how federal prosecutors and FBI agents put together child pedophilia cases and prosecute them and gather evidence via computers and cameras. That, of course, never happened. Adam Lori must be lying. A federal prosecutor is going to walk in here and lie. Of course he is.

Clesson Lockwood, of course, lied too. Those video clips that we saw, those aren't really saying what they say, where Mr. Sacco is telling his buddies how he bought

1	that	video	came	era i	.n ord	er t	co ci	reate	porno	grap	hy and	d to	get
2	live	women	and	pay	them	150	bucl	ks an	hour	to e	ngage	in	sex
3	acts	so he	can	vide	eotape	it	and	make	money	and	l make	tha	t a
4	hobby	. Tha	at's	all	a lie	too) .						

2.2

The video clip where he's honing in on those teenage girls on the corner, on their butts and ass, that's all somehow manufactured as well. The video clip he gets a call -- videotaping himself at the office, he gets a call from the guy that answers his ad in the paper about the girls to engage in sex. The Thailand brochure where he got a brochure where he wanted to go to Thailand, Philippines, Cambodia to engage in sex acts with minor girls and that notation he put in his journal where he talks to a guy from Thailand who tells him how easy it is to score underage girls in Thailand for sex. That's all a lie.

On and on and on. I'm not going to read the rest of my notes because it's absurd. It is absolutely absurd what Dean Sacco would like you to believe. The evidence against Dean Sacco being a predator, a sex offender, a child molester, a sexual psychopath is overwhelming. Do you have any doubt as you sit here right now that that man is a sex offender and preys on children?

I was going to read but I'm not going to because I think I can -- I am trying to move it along, believe it or not. But I do want to hit my points that I

1 want to raise. But I'm not going to read to you from Mr. Sacco's wonderful book, Government Exhibit Number 68, but I 2 3 do want to mention a couple of things out of it. In one of the tabs he talks about how he molested his sister Susan when 4 5 he was in high school, and then he talks about how he molested his sister's friends that slept over and how he 6 7 crept into their room and watched and touched them. Does it sound familiar to you? Remember what Shannon told you the 8 9 first time that Dean Sacco raped her? She's sleeping on the 10 couch, and that was that first weekend when Linda O'Connor 11 told Dean he could sleep in the house, so Linda slept in one bedroom, Sacco allegedly was sleeping in another bedroom, and 12 13 Shannon told you she slept out on the couch in the living 14 room area. What did Dean Sacco do that first night? He 15 crept into the area where she was sleeping and he raped her. 16 Just like he sexually molested his sister and her friends. 17 And then in that book where he describes going 18 out into the neighborhood and putting ladders up and watching 19 girls and their moms undressing and stalking them. And how 20 about the other event where Agent Lyons read to you he comes 21 upon this girl that he knows from the area and it's raining outside and he tackles her. He is molesting her. They're 22 23 struggling, he says. They're rolling around in the rain and 24 mud for 30 minutes as he's grabbing her, fondling her. Dean

Sacco has a violent nature to him. He basically tackled that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

girl and molested her while she's trying to get away from him. Is it any surprise that he told Shannon, I'll stab you and rape you while you're dying?

Elizabeth Dinunzio, absolutely pathetic that Elizabeth Dinunzio was brought here to testify. It is a desperation attempt to have her say something to you to get you to think nicely of Dean Sacco. I asked her one of the first questions, after we finally established that she not only read the book but got a copy of it, because the very last tab is where she sends Dean Sacco a letter saying she got his book and read it. I asked her, did you ever talk to Dean Sacco about why and how he came to molest your daughter, his sister Susan, who, by the way, was sitting in the courtroom right in the first row? I'm not going to try to explain that family. I'm simply telling you that based upon her answer, it said it all. For about a minute and a half she sat there in dead silence. What was her response to my question: That's what counseling is for. And I asked her again: Did you ever confront him about that? And then her answer was: I don't remember. I think it says it all about Miss Dinunzio, what she came here to do. She came here, I submit to you, in a lame attempt to do something for her son. I'm not quite sure what that something is because there's absolutely nothing she can do for him, but that's what you saw with Elizabeth Dinunzio taking the stand. At one point

1	she said, I recommended counseling to him. I wrote down in
2	my notes because this was after she talked to you about
3	stories in here being fictionalized. I wrote down to myself,
4	why does Sacco need counseling for fictional molestation and
5	fictional sex abuse in the book? She tried to get you to
6	think it was all fiction but yet she's telling Dean Sacco he
7	needs counseling. Counseling for what?
8	She later said Sacco had a desire to change.
9	Change from what? Change from being a child predator, sex
10	offender? Maybe he did have a desire, but he certainly
11	didn't do it.
12	Mallory Monagan. Mallory, 14, I believe she
13	is. Not sure if she's 13 or 14. Another one of those kids
14	that is the casualty of Dean Sacco, the predator in this
15	world. Nice kid. Goes over to play next door at Dean
16	Sacco's brother's house, where his brother and his wife live.
17	And along comes Mr. Predator and fondles her and grabs her.
18	Dave Pandiscia told you what Dean Sacco said
19	to him, and I submit this is this is bizarre enough that I
20	do want to read it again. Dave Pandiscia told you that when
21	he interviewed Dean Sacco, at some point in the interview
22	Sacco says: "Did I accidently touch a genital? I'm too
23	smart for that. I know where a pussy is. I know where an
24	asshole is. However, I can't rule that out. I don't think
25	in any way, shape or form I compromised a child's genital. I

1	don't think any genitalia was compromised." Who in their
2	right mind speaks like that when a State Police investigator
3	is asking you, did you touch this girl inappropriately? Did
4	you fondle her? He plays this cat and mouse game with
5	Detective Pandiscia, the same one you hear him playing with
6	Shannon O'Connor on March 14 and March 15. He thinks he's
7	Sherlock Holmes or something. He plays this back and forth
8	like, oh, I can outsmart these guys. Who speaks like that
9	when somebody says, did you fondle that girl? If he if
10	you didn't, you go, absolutely not, I didn't touch anybody.
11	And Government Exhibit 34, Agent Lyons read to
12	you a number of journal entries, and I'm going to go through
13	just very quickly to remind you. And remember, these are
14	journal entries that Dean Sacco is making. He has no idea
15	that this would ever come to be used against him in a court
16	of law anymore than I think he now knows but then didn't have
17	any idea how this might come into play some day, this book.
18	So when he writes this journal, at tab 6, page 65, he talks

19 about how, when he discovers the internet and, "Nothing so

20 far has had such an extensive effect on my personality, work

performance and even self-esteem as the internet. And

21

24

25

22 specifically the searching for young, preteen and tiny sex.

23 This has potentially self-destructive implications if I get

too careless or irresponsible or let my sexual urges mandate

my behavior." He then writes at page 66 -- page 66, he

describes this encounter with a Thai, he calls it Thai guy,

T-H-A-I person from Thailand, who tells him how easy it is to

score underage girls in his country and surrounding

countries. Take a look. I'm not going to put it on the

5 screen again.

Government Exhibit number 40. Sacco gets a brochure about -- it says girls in Thailand. For what it's worth, there are several pictures which are child pornography. You take a look at those girls. They look to be 13, 14 years old. Girls that are being advertised for: Come to our country, have a great time and have sex with these young kids.

He talks at page 72: "I joked with Louis.

Looked in Yellow Pages for storage place. Bought mouthwash for 99 cents at corner place, then at 8, took walk down West Grand, nice street, and found storage place. Ended up renting a tiny space for \$50 plus \$10 security." He talks on page 78 about visiting Lolita sites, sites where child pornography is found. Page 81: "Spent evening cutting up B&W," I submit means black and white, "internet photos of girls and taping them on my wall and enjoying it." Puts exclamation mark. "I told Ralph today that I might as well get into the porno business on some level and get computer, digital camera, etcetera, if I love it so much, and it's true. Might as well make it a hobby." Tab 12, page 89:

Went to triple X sites and basically surfed them rest of day, though I did also shop for digital camera and some mail checking."

Ladies and gentlemen, as far as Mr. Sacco's concerned, I submit to you there's no question of what he is. And does it come as any surprise to you that he raped Shannon that first weekend when she was downstairs in the living room on the couch? Does it come as any surprise to you that he at that point in time starts to come up to Norwich on a routine basis from New Jersey?

If you take a look at bank card records,

Exhibit Number 76, look at what happens up until August 22 of

2006. I don't think there's one credit card use in Norwich.

But look what happens after August 22. He's in Norwich back

and forth all the time. Take a look at his YMCA records,

Exhibit Number 13, of when he comes into the Y and swipes his

card. From September of '06 all through the end of February

of '07, he's in Norwich almost every second and third day.

Why is that? Well, I submit to you, he's in Norwich because

he is sexually abusing Shannon and Shannon is somebody that

he's found and has easy access to and unfettered access to

because of Linda O'Connor. And that's what brings him to

Norwich. And that's why he keeps coming to Norwich. And

that's why, prior to August, you didn't hear of him in

Norwich. He doesn't come up to Norwich. And that's when he

- travels from New Jersey. It is his sexual urge for a minor child that he has found and has easy access to and has no problem getting access to because Linda O'Connor allows him to have access to her.
 - Now, the next couple of minutes, I want to play the March 14, '07 call, the first four minutes of it.

 And then I want to talk about something that you hear on that tape.

(Playing call)

MR. LOVRIC: Okay. The reason I had you listen to that again -- believe me, I'm trying not to waste your time here right now. I didn't know if you caught this the first time when the tape was played, and it's something I don't think I could really explain to you in my opening statement, but you just heard the conversation on March 14.

This is the first recorded call, okay. Now if you remember, Detective Blenis told you that the first actual call did not record. Okay. There was some problem, either he hooked it up wrong or the equipment, but if you look at Government Exhibit 82, that's a summary of Shannon's cellphone and Sacco's cellphone records as they pertain to these calls made by the police on March 14 and March 15. And you look at this exhibit, you'll see the first call on March 14 to Dean Sacco is an incoming call to Dean Sacco from the Norwich PD. Now it didn't say Norwich PD on his line, as

1	Detective Blenis told you. It was blocked out caller ID so
2	obviously Dean wouldn't know that the Norwich PD was calling
3	him. But that's that first call that's in those telephone
4	records. Those records are also in evidence and those
5	records show that call that did not record. Now, the call
6	that we just listened to, that is the third one on this list.
7	It's that 5:48 call. First one is 5:08. That's the one that
8	did not record, and that was 12 minutes long. And Detective
9	Blenis told you it was about 10 minutes long or so.
10	You're saying: What's the point to this?
11	Here's the point: Look at who Dean Sacco calls immediately
12	after Shannon made the first call, the one that did not
13	record. Dean Sacco calls Linda O'Connor. He doesn't call

after Shannon made the first call, the one that did not record. Dean Sacco calls Linda O'Connor. He doesn't call Shannon's cellphone. He calls Linda's home phone. And that record shows that he and Linda have a six-minute conversation, and you can hear it on the tape, because Sacco says, I just talked to your mother and she said you're in foster care. So, think about it. What does Dean Sacco do when Shannon makes that first call? Now Detective Blenis and Liz Chesebro both told you that the first call was the same general topic. Shannon was trying to get Dean Sacco to engage in conversations about the sex with her and the condom and whether or not she's pregnant. Look at what Dean Sacco does. Shannon gets off the phone that first call -- and by the way, that first call did not end abruptly. Detective

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Blenis told you they were done with the call and then when he went to check it, he realized it didn't record, and then that's when they made this next call at 5:48.

But in the interim, Dean Sacco and Linda O'Connor have a six-minute conversation. Dean Sacco calls Linda O'Connor. He doesn't call Shannon. Now, I submit to you, let me tell you how that conversation went. Hi, Linda, this is Dean. Where is Shannon? Linda tells him she's in foster care. Dean says, she just called me about something to do with condoms and pregnancy and all these things, and by the way, Linda, we have a problem. Can you take care of it? Can you talk to Shannon? And at that point Linda tells him, Shannon's not in my custody anymore. She is in DSS and foster care custody. I don't have access to her. Dean tells you he talks to Linda O'Connor. Dean tells you on that conversation, your mother will help you. How does Dean Sacco know at 5:48 on March 14 that Linda O'Connor is going to help Shannon if she thinks she's pregnant? He says that on that conversation. You know how he knows that? Because Dean and Linda just had a conversation about that. Hey, Linda, Dean, I'm telling you, Shannon may be pregnant. What are we going to do about this? Dean Sacco is trying to steer Shannon back to her mother. Don't worry, she'll help you, he says on that call.

Did you hear the part about George, Grandpa?

1	Here's why that's important. On March 14 at 5:48 PM Dean
2	Sacco knows about George Lang. Dean Sacco knows George Lang
3	sexually abused Shannon. Dean Sacco knows that Linda
4	O'Connor had sex with George Lang. Dean Sacco knows the
5	whole story. He's asking Shannon on that call, what about
6	Grandpa? What about this guy you told me and then you can
7	hear it, he says that you did all these things with?
8	Sacco knows all that. And do you remember I asked Shannon,
9	did Dean ever ask you about George or Grandpa? And do you
10	remember Shannon telling you, yes, I remember some point when
11	we were in our apartment and we were doing things, he brought
12	up this topic of Grandpa or George. And Shannon told you she
13	was surprised because she had not told him anything. She had
14	not told him anything about George Lang sexually abusing her.
15	She had not said anything to him. Well, guess who did.
16	She's sitting right there. Linda O'Connor told Dean. And
17	why not. She's pimping her out to Shannon to Dean Sacco,
18	just like she did to George Lang, and that's how Dean learns
19	about that. And when he's grilling Shannon there, Dean must
20	have thought that he was in the twilight zone, because he
21	remembers this clearly. What's Shannon doing? Shannon, as
22	she told you, is trying very hard not to disclose anything
23	about her mother, and Shannon kind of blows him off. And in
24	fact, Detective Blenis told you later, he asked her something
25	about, what's this thing about Grandpa? She just kind of

blew him off. That's exactly what she was doing. She was 1 still protecting Linda O'Connor on March 14. 2 She wasn't 3 going into George Lang with Dean Sacco or Detective Blenis. 4 But the important part is, Sacco knows. Sacco knows about 5 sex abuse. He says it on that tape: Didn't you tell me you caught your mother having sex with him and it really freaked 6 7 you out? I submit to you, listen to what people say and write when the world is not watching, when they don't think 8 9 it's watching, because you'll learn a lot more, and this is 10 where Dean Sacco got caught talking about things that he 11 knows, and he knows them from Linda O'Connor and Linda 12 O'Connor only.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm not going to play the next call. I'm going to reference it and talk to you about it. On that first call -- excuse me -- that first date, March 14, there's a call at -- conversation at 6:45 to 7:10 minutes. And in that conversation Dean Sacco is again telling Shannon, your mom said she will help you. And the way he knows this is he just got off the phone with Linda and they discuss this and discuss the fact that Shannon appears on the verge of telling someone about Dean raping her.

At 12:07 on that date -- on that tape, I think you'll remember this, Dean starts telling Shannon how, in his words, she's trying to get him to admit to being guilty of raping a 13-year-old girl. He starts telling her, I can't

even tell what number you're calling from. And then at one

Summation - Mr. Lovric

2	point he actually asks her, are you at the police station?
3	Is it any surprise to you that these phone calls on the 14th
4	and 15th cause Dean Sacco to, in no uncertain terms, know
5	that Shannon is revealing or has or will be revealing this
6	stuff to either police or some type of agency, whether it's
7	the school or DSS?
8	And what happens then? Well, fast forward to
9	Gerardo DiFiori. These calls happen March 14 and March 15.
10	Gerardo DiFiori tells you that a day before, Mr. Sacco tries
11	to commit suicide. Now the day he tried to commit suicide is
12	March 18. How do you know that? Because March 19 he's
13	arrested at the hospital by New Jersey Police. On the 18th,
14	the day before, is when Gerardo took him to the hospital.
15	One day prior to taking him to the hospital, which would be
16	the 17th, March 17, Gerardo DiFiori tells you he goes over
17	there and Dean's got pills in his hand, looks very

18 distressed, disheveled. And what does Dean Sacco tell

19 Gerardo DiFiori? I got this call from this girl Upstate.

20 I've been having sex with her. She's a 12-year-old girl,

21 | she's a prostitute. And she just called me and tried to get

22 me to incriminate myself and was talking about protection, as

23 DiFiori put it. What is all that? It's exactly what

24 happened. Shannon called him a couple days before then and

25 | is talking about protection, condoms, and sex, and he's now

1	telling DiFiori Sacco's telling DiFiori about this and
2	that's why he's bugging out. And I ask you to look at the
3	word that Sacco uses to DiFiori. He calls her a prostitute.
4	He doesn't call her "a girl that I met." He doesn't say it's
5	some girl that's hanging on in Norwich, but he uses the word
6	prostitute. Why prostitute? Well, in some worlds that's
7	what Shannon ended up being. Her mother prostituted her out,
8	and that's a very telling word that Sacco uses to DiFiori.
9	It's very telling because he does it at a time when Sacco
10	doesn't have the wherewithal to try to concoct some kind of
11	story. He's on the verge of committing suicide. And it's
12	very telling what he says to DiFiori and it's very telling to
13	you the relationship O'Connor and Sacco had.
14	The call on March 15, I'm not going to play
15	it, but if you want to hear it, you're welcome to. What I
16	want to point out, on that call, March 15, there is a point
17	at 21:25 minutes on that call where Sacco is talking to
18	Shannon and throwing out this 40 years in jail. These put me
19	in jail for 40 years. And Sacco's basically, in my terms,
20	doing this guilt trip on Shannon, where he's trying to get
21	her not to tell anybody. Even though on the very front end

he goes, oh, yeah, tell the teacher. If you look at that

Mr. Psychology and trying to work Shannon over. And at the

very end he's basically saying, I'll lose my dog. He gets in

whole tape, whole conversation, he's once again playing

22

23

24

1	all these pets and all the pets that are going to go hungry
2	or something when he goes to prison. I mean, he's laying the
3	guilt trip of a lifetime on this kid to try to get her to
4	please don't tell the police that I raped you. At 21:25 he
5	talks about this 40 years in prison. Remember DiFiori told
6	you that Sacco told him he's not going to go to jail, if
7	police come looking, he's going to commit suicide because
8	he's going to end up 30 years in jail. Defense jumped all
9	over DiFiori over a half hour over these 30 years. Where is
10	he getting these numbers? Where is he reading it? It's
11	classic Sacco. He does it to Shannon, he did it to DiFiori.
12	It's classic Sacco.

That call where he says, are you at the police station, on March 15? Sacco is videotaping -- I'm going to move through this. Agent Lyons told you Sacco videotaped himself doing just about everything, and you saw some clips. He set up his camera in an office cubicle. He videotapes bicycle riding on the trips with -- That's where he pans in on the girls on the corner. He videotapes talking to his buddy, how he's going to produce pornography with this very camera he bought. Do you find it at all surprising that there were no videotapes recovered of Norwich? Sacco videotapes everything. He videotapes himself for hours speaking Italian into the camera. For what purpose, God only knows. He videotapes everything that has him in it. He's

1 | obsessed with cameras, videos with him in it.

Two things, observations. One, look at where 2 3 all the videotapes are found in New Jersey. Cameras found in the storage unit in Norwich. All the videotapes are down 4 there. And is it any coincidence that this guy who 5 videotapes himself doing everything but sleeping didn't do 6 7 any videotaping of himself in Norwich? Is that just a coincidence? I submit to you not. What Sacco did was, all 8 9 the Norwich tapes are gone. That's because he knew Shannon 10 had given it up and he knew Shannon had told and he knew from 11 talking to Adam Lori on how investigators put their case 12 together, and he knew that eventually they'll find these 13 videotapes. And he certainly wasn't going to have them in a 14 storage unit either in New Jersey or Norwich or anywhere, and 15 he certainly wasn't going to keep anything in his office. 16 But the lack of any videotape from Norwich is like a big red 17 flag. Like even at the house, bought a new house. Videotape 18 or anything out of Norwich? Nothing. No Norwich videotapes, 19 for a guy that videotapes everything. That speaks loud and 20 clear. At the time that he met Adam Lori, spring of 2006 into fall of '06, fall of '06 is when he's raping Shannon, 21 22 and it's at the same time that he is quizzing a federal prosecutor about the ins and outs of how FBI and federal 23 24 authorities, Department of Justice investigate child 25 predators. Is it any surprise to you he was able to very

successfully not allow tapes and photographs to be found? Is it any surprise to you that that's exactly what he did?

Once again, Sacco threats DiFiori, sends him that letter: "I will deal with you when I get out, which is going to be sooner than you think." Very consistent. Sacco, smooth talker when he wants to be, but when he wants somebody to know that he's not messing around, whether it's Shannon or anybody else, you see the violent side of Sacco come out.

Amanda Rising talked about the photos of two girls that he showed her, 15- and 17-year-old, he tells her basically that he had sex with them. Had a good time with them in the Dominican Republic. Says she saw other photos of girls, kids 8, 9, 10, 11 years old. Same age range that Detective Pandiscia talked to him about.

The letter to Sorvino, Government Exhibit 64, I submit to you -- and again, I'm not going to read it, but I remember enough of it to tell you my point: He confesses to Sorvino in that letter. In the third paragraph from the top of that letter, he tells Sorvino how he's going to cut a deal with the state prosecutors to get like three or so years in jail because they're preoccupied with some other homicide case. He's telling Sorvino how he'll be out in a few years. He tells Sorvino in that letter, second paragraph: "One of the reasons I'm here, Bill, is because of this problem, this issue that you and the other guys could never help me with.

Τ	Attraction to the opposite sex. My need to be loved by a
2	woman, by a girl." And he goes on into this kind of bizarre
3	explanation as to why he's in jail. But he basically says,
4	I'm going to be out of here in a few years, I'm going to cut
5	a deal, I'm going to plead. He doesn't say in that letter,
6	hey, Bill, I'm innocent, I don't know what these people are
7	doing but I've been falsely accused. He doesn't go on with
8	anything like that.
9	Exhibits 37 through 39, three photographs
10	found in the storage unit. They were taken on June 24, 2006
11	by a camera. That camera has never been recovered. June 24,
12	2006, on the bottom right-hand corner of two of those three
13	photographs is imprinted the date. And I had I believe it
14	was Investigator Shultz testify to that date, but you can
15	look at those pictures. That camera has never been found.
16	The camera that Mr. Sacco bought on eBay, the Fuji 1000 zoom
17	lens camera has never been recovered. The digital camera

The one thing you do know for certain, whatever cameras Mr. Sacco had, he brought them up from New Jersey. When the Judge at some point tells you about the

that he talks about in his diaries, surfing to buy and to

find, the cameras that were recovered, the video camera found

in the storage unit, the Polaroid camera, the Polaroid that

Amanda Rising said he always carried around at these

barbecues that was found at the storage unit in Norwich.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- law, there is a requirement that the things used to produce 1 or to try to produce the pornography, child pornography, 2 3 traveled interstate. How do you know that? Well, first of all, the cameras he bought on eBay came to him in New Jersey. 4 5 That video camera did, the Fuji 1000 zoom lens did. And then 6 from New Jersey they came up to Norwich. So that's crossing 7 state lines. Secondly, those cameras, the two that are in 8 9 evidence, you can look on the back of them. One is made, I 10 believe it's Malaysia, the other one is made in Japan. So 11 the interstate aspect is in. I just want to point it out to 12 you so you don't spend a lot of time thinking about that. 13 Part of the defense and my submission to you 14 has been, with Shannon O'Connor in particular, has been 15
 - has been, with Shannon O'Connor in particular, has been everything has to line up. All the dates. Everything has to be perfect. The Best Western records. The hotel stays. The when exactly things happened. Was it on December 27 or was it on December 26? Was it on Thanksgiving or was it the day before Thanksgiving? Everything has to line up. And the defense wants you to believe if everything doesn't line up perfectly, she's lying. It's all a big lie.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Now I'd like you to step back, and you can do it from your own perspective or your own common sense or your own experiences as a 10-, 11-, 12-, 13-year-old kid.
- 25 | Children do not, I submit to you, think of time in the same

1	way that adults do. To children time is a different medium
2	than it is to the rest of humanity. They remember things
3	happening on a specific holiday or around a holiday, but to
4	them and half the time when I ask my daughter, what date
5	is this thing happening or this event, I get the, I don't
6	know, it's sometime next week. As a parent, you start going,
7	what date, what time, where? And you get the vague, it's
8	going to take place, Dad, just leave me alone. Can I go or
9	not? Children don't have the same notions of time and date.
10	But the defense would like you to believe that Shannon had to
11	remember the exact date that everything happened, the exact
12	time it happened, the exact exact event at a hotel it
13	happened. If Shannon can't tell you exactly when it
14	happened, does that mean it didn't happen? If she's going to
15	make something up and stick with it, then you'll always get
16	the same date. Just because she can't have everything down
17	to a science as far as dates and times, doesn't mean it
18	didn't happen. And it also doesn't mean that she's lying
19	about being raped or sexually abused or pimped out to other
20	men.
21	I wrote this down because this is my
22	impression that I want to share with you. For the longest

I wrote this down because this is my impression that I want to share with you. For the longest time during this trial, the defense was throwing out this idea Shannon is a loony tune. Shannon is psychologically off the wall. She's on meds, she's on all of these things. You

23

24

1	can't even believe her before she walks into this courtroom.
2	And I submit to you, when after Shannon testified, you saw
3	a different kind of cross-examination of her. All of a
4	sudden we get Shannon's report cards put in and she's a good
5	student. You get Miss Peebles bringing to your attention
6	that she won an award for creativity in story writing. I
7	don't know whether she thinks she's a good story writer or a
8	great liar, if that's her point, but all of a sudden it's the
9	smart Shannon. Oh, she made it all up because she's so
10	smart. Before it was, she's a babbling, drooling idiot, and
11	after she testifies, because you saw her testify, she's not
12	what, I submit to you, is painted of her and so all of a
13	sudden it's, oh, it's the conniving, manipulative Shannon.
14	She made all this up because she's so smart.
15	George Lang, George Lang's computer. I'm
16	going to go through this but I want to hit some points.
17	George Lang knew his computers. I submit to you, George Lang
18	and Linda O'Connor developed a close relationship because of
19	their sexual deviant interest, and it probably happened by,
20	sure, pure luck. He starts coming over, helping with the
21	computer, she's interested in porn, he's interested in porn.

They start e-mailing it back and forth, and before you know

having sexual encounters with children. The one thing that

you can rest assured with George Lang is that George Lang, I

it, they both discover that they both like children and

22

23

24

submit to you, is not going to leave anything on that computer knowing that he's going to die. Renee Lang told you she told him, when you go, that computer goes. Now that computer, either George Lang deleted things off of it or it became corrupted.

James Thompson told you, there are things on that computer that he knows were there that are no longer there. He told you there were images and photographs, some of which were digital images and photographs that are no longer there, and he can't tell you whether they were intentionally or unintentionally obliterated, but he knows from looking at enough of the bits and pieces that they were there at one point. He also told you that based upon the titles of those files, he knows that some of those files were pornography images, pictures. What happened to those things? Only George Lang and God know. But there's no question it was there because enough pieces were left behind that tell you they were there at one point.

Renee Lang, she told you she goes over to Linda O'Connor's house on 11 River Street, walks in, and there is Linda O'Connor naked from head to toe and Shannon O'Connor naked from head to toe. I would like you to spend all the time in the world that you want to and come up with one logical, rational explanation. There is none. A 40-year-old woman standing naked in the middle of the day in

the middle of the house with her naked 11-year-old daughter

Summation - Mr. Lovric

1

24

25

2	in the middle of the house, in the middle of the day. There
3	is absolutely no explanation on God's green earth other than
4	one that is Renee Lang walked in either before or after
5	Shannon was being sexually abused by Linda O'Connor at 11
6	River Street, just the way she told you. There is no other
7	explanation. You can think all you want, and you know what,
8	I asked Mr. Fischer and Miss Peebles if they care to give you
9	a rational explanation. There is none. Oh, Renee Lang is
10	lying. Of course. I forgot about that one. Everybody is
11	lying. That is a smoking gun that tells you Shannon is
12	telling you the truth when she tells you Linda O'Connor
13	molested her. They would both be naked, either in the
14	bedroom or the bathroom. And what does Renee Lang tell you
15	that O'Connor, Linda O'Connor does after that? She locks the
16	door. The door is locked from then on.
17	Georgie Boy. The mechanical male penis that
18	George Lang buys for Linda O'Connor. The one that she uses
19	all night on one occasion when she and Shannon are sleeping
20	in the bedroom together. What does that tell you about Linda
21	O'Connor? I don't want to bring up a sorry subject, but
22	again, with all due respect to Miss Hamilton she doesn't know
23	what the hell she's talking about. Linda O'Connor is some

model parent is a bunch of baloney, and Miss Hamilton has got

it in her mind that Linda O'Connor is some model parent that

Τ	Snannon's making stuff up about. Model parent. She's using
2	a vibrator on herself the whole time that her 11-year-old
3	daughter is sleeping with her in a bedroom. What does that
4	tell you about Linda O'Connor? More importantly, what does
5	that tell you about the relationship she has with Shannon?
6	How could a parent do that with their child next to them and
7	they know the child is there and can hear them? Well, it's
8	not a problem if you're sexually abusing your child and you
9	and your child are doing things to each other. What's the
10	big deal. That's what it tells you.
11	Sending of porn, George and Linda. Shannon
12	has access to it. Sees it. Defense was, I submit to you,
13	looking to get you to be all use my words outraged when
14	Shannon viewed porn at Linda Hunsinger's house September '06,
15	Renee's daughter. Shannon goes on a site, sees child
16	excuse me porn, pregnancies. It's the outrage of the
17	month. Where was the outrage when Shannon is exposed to all
18	this porn at her own computer at her mother's house that
19	George is sending to her? Is it any surprise to you that

20

25

23 pornography, with sexuality. This is why you don't talk to a

24 5-year-old about sexual intercourse between mom and dad. And

Shannon has this fascination with pornography? Think about

you don't pull out family photos. There's certain things

meet.

children are not ready, their minds are not ready to grasp.

And what happens is, when a child has been sexually abused

and exposed to this, it is very natural to find them going on

these sites because they're exploring, they're trying to

figure it all out, because the parents obviously haven't done

it for them. They haven't been able to explain this.

- medicated. Linda O'Connor is feeding her alcohol at George's on New Year's Eve with Sacco. She gives her Vicodin after one of the rapes. Shannon is starting to come unglued. She's being abused and raped. What's Linda O'Connor's solution? Have a drink. Have her take Vicodin.

 Painkillers. Shannon writes, in that note that Renee Lang found: "I hate my mother. My mother used me." This whole case about Linda O'Connor using Shannon. This whole case is not even about Dean Sacco. Dean Sacco is one of those predators that walks the face of the earth that came into contact with Linda O'Connor, who is basically pimping her kid out and doing whatever she wants to with her kid to make ends
 - Linda O'Connor, I submit to you -- and the evidence I submit shows this -- what is Linda O'Connor?

 Linda O'Connor is what I put in quotation, a societal parasite. She's a moocher. She's somebody that basically freeloads. She looks to anybody and anything, any agency

- where she can suck money out of it, and that's the way she survives. She's a financial shipwreck. She has no finances.
- 3 You give her money and she'll blow it. You can hand her
- 4 50,000 in cash today; within two weeks, she'll have nothing.
- 5 And then she'll go and mooch somewhere else. And that's why
- 6 she develops the relationship with George Lang.
- 7 What is George Lang? He's someone who's going
- 8 to adopt her. He's paying some of her bills for her. She's
- 9 going to -- over to his place. Living it up. Has a great
- 10 comfortable family situation. That's what Linda O'Connor is
- 11 about.
- Dean Sacco comes along. Dean is in for one
- 13 thing and one thing only: sex with children. Linda: I don't
- 14 have money to pay rent. I can't give it to you on time. I
- 15 | need for -- an extra month or two. And that's what happened
- 16 here.
- In the middle of that is Shannon O'Connor.
- 18 | She's being used over and over again by Linda O'Connor and
- 19 all of these men. Linda O'Connor, when Shannon goes to
- 20 | foster care -- do you remember Liz Chesebro talking about one
- 21 of the most important things that was on Linda O'Connor's
- 22 | mind? What about my HUD, what about my public assistance?
- 23 | With Shannon out of the house, I'm going to lose money. Are
- 24 | you kidding me? Your kid is going to foster care, you just
- 25 | got arrested for not paying at Pizza Hut, and what's on your

mind? How much public assistance you're going to lose
because you don't have a kid in the family.

Two men at the hotel, pure business. That was it. That was a horrific commercial transaction. Linda

O'Connor needed cash. Somehow she knows the two men. Were they cab drivers? Were they people that she kind of knows from the street? I don't know. I can't answer that for you. But she knows these two men, and these two men gave her 150 bucks to have sex with Shannon.

Look what Renee Lang told you about Linda
O'Connor. Linda O'Connor tells her to go get fucked. She
thinks she's taking her daughter away from her. Then she
starts buying things for Shannon, and it's just classic
O'Connor. She smashes Shannon with sex abuse and rapes and
she picks her up and glues her back together. How does she
do that? Buying stuff for her. Is that any surprise to you
that a 12- and 13-year-old kid can be so easily swayed by
material things? That's the problem with kids though. They
are so easily hooked and so easily pulled. And that's
exactly what happens to Shannon.

Violation of court order. October 11. Linda O'Connor is in court. The judge tells her not to let Shannon have any unsupervised contact with Dean Sacco. Why does she violate the court order? She doesn't care about any court order. Anything that gets her what she wants, Linda O'Connor

Sammacron III. Bovi.

does. Linda O'Connor didn't care about a court order. She's allowing Sacco to rape her daughter.

Now I submit to you what happens here is the following: Dean Sacco rapes Shannon O'Connor that first night. There is no evidence that Linda knew about that, and she probably didn't. Shannon didn't know that. Shannon doesn't know that -- Linda O'Connor doesn't know that Dean just raped her. And what happens to Shannon? She unravels. She starts to either, fake or real, hurting herself. Either way you cut it, Shannon starts to unravel. She thought it was going to be different from George Lang on River Street. She's now been raped by Sacco. She has no idea whether Linda is involved or not with Sacco, but Shannon doesn't know that, and she ends up going to live with Renee Lang.

What happens when she comes back? The rapes continue. And then when her mother not only tells her it's better than being homeless but when her mother gets involved with the picture taking, is it any surprise to you that pictures are taken of rape? That's not Linda O'Connor.

Linda O'Connor doesn't care about the photographing. Linda O'Connor has a deviant sexual perversion in abusing her daughter. It's Sacco that's the photography nut. It's Sacco that photographs everything. It's Sacco that wants pictures taken, either as trophies, like that condom that he sticks into his dresser. Linda O'Connor doesn't care if Sacco wants

- 1 to dress up in a tutu when he's raping her daughter.
- could care less. Go ahead, we'll take pictures, no problem. 2
- 3 At that point in time Shannon knows that her mother is
- involved and is pimping her out. There is no question in her 4
- 5 mind.
- Deanna Kirwin, nice kid. Remember I asked 6
- 7 her, how did Shannon appear when she talked about the
- landlord? And Deanna Kirwin said she was very upset and 8
- disturbed whenever she talked or mentioned him. I go back to 9
- 10 Miss Hamilton. With all due respect to Miss Hamilton, I
- 11 think there's something going on there. She definitely has a
- 12 skewed view of what happened because Deanna Kirwin tells
- 13 you -- and you know what, Deanna Kirwin couldn't make
- 14 anything up if she tried. She was very candid. Seems like a
- 15 real sweet kid. We were in the garage, we looked at those
- 16 porno magazines. Do you know what the whole purpose was?
- 17 Because Shannon denied that. I don't know if you remember
- that Miss Peebles asked her that. Shannon denied they went 18
- 19 to the garage and looked at porn magazines. I don't know
- 20 why. Maybe Shannon doesn't remember it. Maybe she doesn't
- 21 want to remember it. So what. So they put Deanna Kirwin on
- 22 to show you Shannon lied about that or the answers were not
- the same. Look at what she said when she talked about 23
- 24 Shannon whenever Dean Sacco the landlord was mentioned.
- 25 Sacco.

```
Exhibit 13, Chenango County medical records.
1
    Dr. Waters concludes it was sexual assault, that Shannon was
 2
 3
    sexually assaulted. Her hymen was completely torn. On the
    first three pages of this report he concludes that based on
 4
 5
    that, she was sexually violated and sexually assaulted.
                   Exhibit 53, 54, 59, and 60. I read three of
 6
 7
    these for you.
                    These are defense O'Connor exhibits.
    Fifty-three, 54 and 59 are letters which Shannon wrote to her
 8
 9
    mother. Letters Shannon was writing to her mother when she
10
    was in jail. And I asked Shannon -- and I went to specific
11
    places in the letters. She's telling her mother about how
    she would never do to her children what her mother did to her
12
13
    and how Shannon was telling her mother how much she hates her
14
    for what she did to her. You know what, folks, if you look,
15
    now that you know what happened, Shannon left a lot of things
16
    out there, whether you want to call it a trail or bread
17
    crumbs, but she left a lot of signs saying, please help me.
18
    That's basically what was going on here. Shannon was, every
19
    turn, every corner, before she had the guts and courage to
20
    come out and say it, saying, please help me somebody. And it
21
    comes in letters. It comes in the form of what she said to
22
    people. And it wasn't until she's ready and able to build up
23
    enough courage to disclose in December of '07 that she
    finally let's it all spill out. But does that seem logical
24
25
    or illogical? Do you think a child could just casually come
```

- out and say, my mother's been sexually abusing me for years, or is it more consistent this is something that will take a long time?
- If you remember back to when we had voir dire, 4 5 jury selection, I asked every one of you that very question. 6 And I don't know if you remember it, but I remember it. I 7 asked you: What do you think about when a child gets sexually abused by a parent versus a stranger? Which one 8 9 would you expect they would more readily and more quickly 10 divulge and reveal? And if I remember, almost everybody --11 or nobody said the reverse, was that, of course it will take 12 a long time to tell on a parent versus a stranger. 13 Because you'll say, hey, that man just hurt me, they abused me, they tried to do this. Who do you run to when it's your 14 15 mother? Who? Who do you trust enough when it's your mother? Look at Shannon's suicide note. Shannon puts down in her 16 17 suicide note all the things that happened to her.
 - Final things I want to talk about. It is the final thing, Judge. The count, the charges.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Count one, as you'll find, the Judge will tell you, charges Linda O'Connor with selling or transferring Shannon for purposes of Dean Sacco engaging in acts which are for the purpose of having portrayed in a visual depiction or photograph.
 - Now the one thing I do want to mention about

Summation - Mr. Lovric

- count one and other counts, the Judge will read you the law.

 I don't want to step on his function. Listen for the ors,

 because all these statutes have ors. You can violate it this

 way or that way, or, or. Listen for the ors, because it can
- way or that way, or, or. Listen for the ors, because it can be one way or the other.

Traveling interstate, in interstate commerce.

There's no question Sacco traveled from New Jersey to

New York. You can look at his bank card records. You can

look at the YMCA records. He's buying things in New Jersey

and he's buying things in Norwich. Buying things in Norwich

and then Deposit. There's no question he's going back and

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

forth, back and forth.

You're going to learn and the Judge will explain to you, with respect to count one, the conduct that the Judge will describe and the law that he'll describe is for the purpose of producing child pornography. The law does not require that it had been produced. It doesn't require that any photographs were ever taken. It doesn't require that they ever came out. So long as you're convinced that the activities as charged were for the purpose of producing. If Dean Sacco had set up that camera and it never worked, he can still be convicted of count two. If Linda O'Connor participated with Dean Sacco, she can be convicted of count one, even if the pictures never came out. The law doesn't require that. Listen carefully for that.

Summation - Mr. Lovric

2.2

Count one deals with Linda O'Connor
transferring custody of Shannon. Not permanently; for those
one to two minutes when she allowed Dean Sacco to have access
to Shannon O'Connor for the purpose of engaging in those
sexual acts for the purpose of producing for the purpose
of not actually producing, although I submit to you they were
actually producing. That is a violation of count one by
Linda O'Connor.
Count two, Dean Sacco is acquiring, getting
custody of Shannon for that purpose.
Count three talks about sex trafficking. The
Judge will read to you the law. Again, listen for the

Judge will read to you the law. Again, listen for the language that says while in and affecting interstate commerce. What interstate commerce has been affected in this sex trafficking? Linda O'Connor pimping her daughter out, Dean Sacco obtaining custody of Shannon for the purpose of engaging in a commercial sex act, which the Judge will define for you. What interstate has been affected? I can count on two hands just off the top of my head. Dean Sacco traveled in interstate commerce. He went from New Jersey to New York. That affects interstate commerce. Linda O'Connor made phone calls out of state. That affects interstate commerce. Dean Sacco made phone calls to New York State from New Jersey. That affects interstate commerce. Dean Sacco used his bank card in New York State with money and assets that are located

- in New Jersey in his bank account in New Jersey. That
 affects interstate commerce. Linda O'Connor rented rooms at
 the hotel, a hotel that has a chain which affects interstate
 commerce. Linda O'Connor sending Sacco a money order in New
 Jersey affects interstate commerce. It's not required to be
 a big effect, it just has to be some effect.
 - Count four and five. Count four relates to both Dean Sacco and Linda O'Connor. Again, listen to that language, for the purpose of.

- Count five pertains to Linda O'Connor being a parent and allowing the child to engage in acts which are for the purpose of producing visual depiction. Listen for that language again.
- Counts four and five, the Judge will talk to you about this legal proposition of aiding and abetting. I simply point it out. Listen to when he talks to you about that.
- Count six, Dean Sacco travels from New Jersey to engage in sex with Shannon. Again, it's for the purpose of engaging. It wouldn't matter if he never had sex with Shannon O'Connor if he traveled from New Jersey for the purpose of intending to and having a desire to travel for the purpose of seeing and meeting her, to have sex with her, regardless of whether he ever does.
 - And count 7 is the possession of child

Summation - Mr. Lovric

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pornography. Child pornography we're talking about are the various pictures taken of Shannon, in addition to any other pictures that you find either in Thailand or the other pictures that were described by Amanda Rising as far as constituting child pornography.

I'm going to stop and end here. I've tried to give you as much of an overview as I can, and I tried to hone in and talk to you about the things that I thought maybe weren't as evident and things that I wanted to make sure that you think about. The bottom line in this case, folks, is that Shannon O'Connor was, in fact, sexually abused, and everything -- if you step back, everything that you see about her and about what has happened to her is consistent with that. And if you really think about it, and you step back and you say, why would Shannon say this if it didn't happen and put herself in this light, put herself in the middle of being a girl that is 10, 11, 12 years old and sexually abused by a parent if it didn't happen and why would she go on through interviews with law enforcement and testifying in court, for what purpose? I mean, to do what? To send her mother away to jail forever and ever, for what? What did her mother ever do that they can point to other than sexually abusing her to cause Shannon to be so angry? You know, what Miss Hamilton described, every kid can get angry enough where they will say something and then fairly quickly you can

unravel what they said was either not accurate or not 1 correct. And that's what happens with children that create a 2 3 story or tell a lie. But for a child to go down the road all the way to the very end to say, this is what happened to me, 4 5 for what? She still loves her mother and wishes things were different. That -- this isn't going to make anything 6 7 different, and she knows that at this point in time. And so you have to ask yourself, when somebody tells you Shannon is 8 9 lying, Shannon is making it up, well, why? You can't just 10 say that and it can't be just she's angry. I mean, all of us 11 get angry, but you don't go on and on and lying about your 12 parent sexually abusing you, and it just makes no sense. 13 makes absolutely no sense. Shannon's angry over what, that 14 she would lie about all these happening? 15 And then you've got to ask yourself, look at the things that you can corroborate. Dean Sacco, Dean Sacco 16 17 is a walking sexual predator, and everything in this case 18 tells you that. And everything in this case tells you that 19 he did in fact rape Shannon, and that condom was one of his 20 trophies that he left behind and all those other things that 21 corroborate what Shannon said to you. So don't just accept

23 blame game analysis for you, and it's not just a bunch of

22

24

25

words that I put together. It's everybody's lying. Well,

wait a minute. Before I accept that, you've got to show me,

when somebody says, oh, they're all lying. I went through my

why and how? People don't get together and say, let's accuse that person, let's all lie, how do you come up with it?

On the flip side of this, if you think about

it, Shannon was abused in the way she described and she is

what she is today, but that she is that way because of these

two people. So I ask you, use your common sense, and if you

do that, and use your logic and apply it, you will find that

these two people did what they're charged with and they're in

fact guilty of those charges.

10 Thanks for your attention.

THE COURT: Okay, ladies and gentlemen. We're going to take a break.

13 (Jury excused)

(Short break taken)

15 (Jury present)

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Fischer, are you ready to address the jury?

18 MR. FISCHER: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

May it please the Court, counsel: Members of the jury, good

20 afternoon.

21

22

23

24

25

When I last stood up here to address you, it was I believe 4:45, so I'm starting a little early because it's only five to 4. You've sat here for three weeks plus and given your undivided attention, and I've been sitting directly across and I've watched what you've done. You have

20......5.2.2.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 given everything that we can ever ask of a jury to this point, and I appreciate it. And I'm here as Mr. Sacco's 2 3 representative so I'm going to say for him, he also acknowledges the hard work that you have put in, each and 4 5 every one of you, for three weeks of your life that has taken out to dedicate to figuring out what really did happen here. 6 7 But your hard work has not yet begun really. You've listened to the evidence, but now after I speak, Miss Peebles will 8 9 speak, and after Miss Peebles speaks, Mr. Lovric has the 10 opportunity to -- again to get up and speak to you, and after 11 that Judge McAvoy will explain the law to you, and that is 12 when the weight shifts from us to you.

This is a tremendous burden. Civilization has been nothing if it hasn't been attempting to bring us to this point. The point where, when we have a dispute, we figure out a way to pick 12 people from the community, 12 strangers to come in and listen, listen to the rule of law, administered by a judge, a federal judge, in an adversarial setting, where one side says this is what happened and the other side said, no, this is what happened, and then you give it to the community, basically the people who live here, who are willing to come in and spend their time. The gravity of it is not just historical, it also is, as Mr. Lovric began to acknowledge in his closing, the gravity of it is tremendous. What's involved for the people here cannot be overstated.

т	And so when I get done speaking here, I don't
2	get another chance to speak with you. And this burden is
3	going to be handed to you when I sit down for Mr. Sacco. I
4	use the word burden because it is a burden. The law as it
5	has developed in the criminal arena is different than the
6	civil arena. In a civil arena, as plaintiff's attorney, for
7	example, I have the benefit of being able to say that
8	something probably happened and that suffices. That is much,
9	much easier than the government's burden in this criminal
10	case. Because in this criminal case, the burden that has
11	evolved through centuries of analysis and decision-making
12	process by well-educated and intelligent people has evolved
13	to the point where the government's burden is to prove this
14	case beyond a reasonable doubt. I add emphasis to that
15	phrase for a reason, because that is ultimately the hurdle,
16	the threshold that has to be crossed by the evidence, not my
17	arguments. My arguments, frankly, are superfluous. They
18	are in a sense, they're meaningless. I'm not giving you
19	any evidence in this case. Mr. Lovric doesn't and Miss
20	Peebles doesn't. We're not allowed to. We weren't there; we
21	don't know what happened.
22	Where does the evidence that you decide this
23	case on come from? I was versed, educated, trained as a
24	historian through college. As a historian, how do you figure

25

out what really happened at a time three years ago, 50 years

1 ago, 5,000 years ago? You go to the things that occurred at the time. And, you know, in my experience in trying cases, 2 3 time and time and time again what has worked to show what 4 really occurred is to go to the events that occurred closest in time to those events. And we'll go through and I'll --5 6 we'll analyze that proof from that perspective because, you 7 know, we're making argument here that -- the attorneys are making argument to show you should look at this evidence from 8 9 our perspective because then you will agree with us and you 10 will rule in our favor. We don't start from a level playing 11 field, unfortunately. For the government in this case, they 12 have the uphill battle and an uphill burden, which I submit 13 to you in this case really they have not met. 14 I start off with that premise. Their proof in 15 this case, no matter how you cut it, no matter how you try to 16 dress it up and no matter what evidence the government has 17 submitted with respect to Mr. Sacco's background, the evidence as to what occurred in this case comes from one 18

evidence as to what occurred in this case comes from one source. That source is, to put it very kindly, unreliable.

Would you take Shannon O'Connor's word if she told you that if you give me a thousand dollars I'll make it \$10,000?

Would you believe that? Use that as a basis upon which you make your judgment whether you should believe her in this case, because the stakes, as Mr. Lovric called them, in this

case are very different than money. Can you rely upon what

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Shannon O'Connor said to make a judgment in this case with stakes like these? That is the foundation of the government's case. It's -- to say that it's a weak foundation is a tragic understatement.
- 5 I respond to some questions raised by Mr. Lovric in his closing statement. Why, why in the world would 6 7 a girl say this? Why in the world would something like this ever be made up, be fabricated like this? I don't have the 8 answer to that question. What we do know, first of all, we 9 10 do know that Shannon has had a terrible life. There's no two 11 ways around it. You can't arque that point. I won't arque 12 She has -- she's had a terrible time with it. I don't 13 know whether her condition -- you can take ten trained 14 psychiatrists in a room together and you'd come up with ten 15 different opinions about why she is where she is today. I 16 don't know the answer to that. I'm not able to give you 17 that. I don't know whether mental illness is caused by 18 surroundings, events that happen to us in our life. I tend 19 to believe there is some truth to that. But you also heard 20 Liz Chesebro say that Linda O'Connor has labored under a 21 mental illness for three plus decades. She really has, 22 apparently so. I don't know whether these are genetic 23 components to what Shannon O'Connor suffers from today or 24 whether it is exclusively environmental. I don't have the 25 answer to that question. I can't give it to you.

1	Why would she do this? Why would she make a
2	false claim if it in fact is false? Why would she do that?
3	There are rewards to it. There is an upside to it. You get
4	a bodyguard; that's one reward. You get a lot of people who
5	really, really genuinely care. The people in this system who
6	address these problems with Shannon O'Connor, I really do
7	believe they sincerely care about her. They spent a lot of
8	time, a lot of hard work, dedicated to trying to help her.
9	They tried to do the right thing. They picked her up and
10	they did their best to take care of her. They gave her all
11	of the attention and the laundry list I went through with
12	Shannon, some of the primary care givers, and that list took
13	7 minutes to get through, not to mention the probably 200
14	incidental people at least who have come across Shannon
15	O'Connor and helped her, tried to help her in some fashion or
16	another. Why? Because she got something that she didn't get
17	at home: a caring, loving environment, with people who cared
18	about her and wanted to help her improve her life and get
19	better.
20	Linda, I don't know Linda O'Connor. I only
21	know Linda O'Connor from basically what you all have heard of
22	her in this trial. Never met her, never spoke with her. She
23	obviously does have a significant history of mental problems.
24	I would submit to you that there is a reason why Shannon

would want to get out of that environment, and she did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

got placed with Kim Hamilton and Richard Hamilton and six other children which, although it wasn't perfect, was not a bad shift, frankly. I think they gave her a good safe place to live, three squares, some kids to play with, a relatively stable home environment. There's a good reason for her to make this up.

But why not just recant when it got to the point where all of a sudden you're facing the grand jury on the state side and a federal case about to be launched? Why not at that point recant? Well, there's a good reason for that. Mandy made a false accusation and she recanted, and what happened to Mandy? What did Shannon O'Connor learn from that? If I recant, what's going to happen me? I'm going to get prosecuted. I'm going to be -- and she already said like when her mom got arrested, one of her biggest fears at the time was, what's going to happen to me? I'm going to get arrested. She had a fear of that, and that's a legitimate It's real fear. It's not a paranoia; it's a real fear. If she had recanted, what do you think would happen? That answers the question. I didn't raise the question. addressed it because Mr. Lovric raised the question why, and that is indeed I think clearly the answer. It's logical, it's rational and it makes sense.

This is a federal criminal trial. All the marbles really are at stake. Mr. Lovric got up and spoke

with you and said, yeah, Shannon lied about being in the

2	shed. It's pretty clear that she lied about it. We've got
3	the girl up here who really I agree with Mr. Lovric was
4	a nice kid and honest as the day is long. So if you compare
5	the two, the conclusion was clearly that Shannon lied about
6	being in the shed. What was Mr. Lovric's response to that
7	lie? I quote: So what. So what. I can't think of anything
8	that's more important. So what if the primary witness in
9	this case tells a jury who's going to decide the fate of
10	these two people a bald-faced outright lie. So what? That's
11	unacceptable. I submit to you that you cannot accept that
12	position. And certainly in this case that should not be
13	relied upon to form the basis for a finding of guilt on these
14	charges beyond a reasonable doubt.
15	It troubles me. It's wrong to say so what in
16	this setting. It's fundamentally wrong. This case got a lot
17	of publicity before trial, during trial, but before trial we
18	addressed with jurors on voir dire, and part of the big
19	part of the publicity in this case was the Best Western
20	claim, that mom sold this girl to two men for sex at the Best
21	Western. By my calculations, about a minute and a half of

Mr. Lovric's several-hour-long closing statement was

dedicated to the Best Western theme. I think that he

acknowledges that that's a confabulation. He didn't put up

much of a fight on that point because there's no fight to be

22

23

24

made. This is a -- I use the term confabulation and I'm 1 going to use that term during the course of this closing, 2 3 which is going to take a while because confabulation is somewhere between a lie and a mistake. It's mixing up the 4 5 I'm thinking of a Yiddish word a fellow told me this morning. I can't remember it. Basically my point is, I 6 7 submit to you that most of what Shannon did in this case was not an intentional lie. It's not as though she went out and 8 9 intentionally tried to hurt people. I really believe that 10 she was just so confused, so mixed up, so muddled -- and I 11 understand Mr. Lovric lays the blame for that -- he says he doesn't play the blame game but lays the blame for her mental 12 13 state at the feet of my client. You look at the whole 14 picture, step back a little bit and determine whether that is 15 accurate or not. In any event, she is -- there's no doubt 16 about it, there's no doubt that she -- at best, if you put 17 the evidence in the light most favorable to the government in 18 this case, she is at the very least confused. 19 The claims. And I'll go through historically 20 talking about what she said and when she said it, put it in 21 the context of just how confused she was or wasn't when she 22 made those claims so you can accurately assess whether 23 they're true or not. And I'll do that in a minute. But I

want to make the point that the Best Western, the claims

about the Best Western were made -- I believe it's the

24

December 5, 2007 videotape. You have -- you were given 1 some -- a transcript of that. That's not evidence. 2 3 used as an aid. If you want to look at that again, because that really is -- I believe that's really the first 4 5 disclosure that Shannon makes about mom being involved in the photograph. That's really the crux, where the major crux of 6 7 this case is. That's made at a time when she is at the very least confused and at the most severely mentally ill, 8 9 psychotic, hallucinating and under the influence of not 10 Zoloft or Benadryl but Thorazine, Risperdal, which are -- my 11 understanding of it, is some very seriously heavy-duty 12 antipsychotic medications. You don't give those out unless 13 somebody's got some real serious stuff going on. But that is 14 when these disclosures were made about the Best Western. 15 What's consistent? I mean, you have different stories about 16 what happened here. Part of your job is to make all of that 17 consistent. How can I make these things work together and 18 mesh comfortably? In this case, the answer to that question 19 is that in October and December of 2007, when these 20 disclosures were made, they were a mix of bits and pieces of 21 fantasy, pornography from before Shannon O'Connor ever met 22 Dean Sacco. Pornography from when she lived at Renee Lang's 23 house in September of 2006, as I recall. Dreams -- just for 24 an example, about mixing things up. The progression -- I'll

go through progression in more detail. This example leaves

1 the mind right now. The dream sequence. Shannon originally, back in February of -- might have been March of 2007, says to 2 3 her therapist, I'm having dreams. And that's important to a therapist. I don't understand what it means, but the 4 5 therapist says they have some meaning to them. She says, I'm having dreams about my mother and I stabbing each other. 6 7 Okay. Makes sense. Later on that changes. It evolves. morphs into, I'm having dreams about Dean Sacco stabbing me, 8 9 and that in turn evolves later on into a claim that. Dean 10 Sacco says he's going to stab me. Now that only comes out at 11 the last tail-end heightened apex, really, of that episode of 12 mental illness. I don't know what the diagnosis is 13 technically, but it's clearly a mental illness of some sort. 14 That statement is the height of confabulation. It comes out 15 at the time when all the planets align to make that the least 16 credible statement one could ever rely upon. Confabulation. 17 There is an intimation, as I understand it, 18 that Mr. Sacco, between March 15, 2007 and the time of the 19 second phone call or third phone call and the time that he 20 apparently tried to commit suicide in March 18 or 19 of 2007, 21 came to Norwich and removed any incriminating evidence. that doesn't work. It's not accurate. It's not consistent. 22 23 It doesn't make sense. It's not logical. If Mr. Sacco had 24 come up to Norwich to remove incriminating evidence, this 25 camera would never have been found because now it's claimed

that it's part of the crime? The -- all the stuff that's 1 been found from that locker was still there. The condom was 2 3 still there. If Mr. Sacco came up here to get rid of 4 evidence, he only took three percent of it and left the rest. 5 That doesn't make sense. That's just illogical. And part of what you, I'd submit, can rely on in this case to reach 6 7 conclusions is logic. Use that logic, apply it to these facts. See what he comes up with. See if it fits. 8 9 really one of the tools you can bring to the table as a 10 group, an individual, to try out what really happened here, 11 and that's really a big part of your job. But that just 12 doesn't make sense, that claim of intimation. 13 insinuation doesn't make sense here. 14 I talked about how important your job is. 15 grew up in the house of a prosecutor. I grew up, my father 16 was a prosecutor for years and years and years. I understand 17 how the system works. I also understand just how much power

grew up in the house of a prosecutor. I grew up, my father was a prosecutor for years and years and years. I understand how the system works. I also understand just how much power the government carries with them. The federal government, United States of America, has the power to do things that I as a private citizen cannot do. An FBI agent shows up on your door and says: Didn't this happen? Isn't this what happened? Says -- let's --

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gerardo DiFiori. I'll talk about that instance for a moment. That occurred after Mr. Sacco's phone calls with both Linda O'Connor and with Shannon O'Connor on

1	the phone, when it's pretty clear that at one point Mr. Sacco
2	had an idea that Shannon might be setting him up, which she
3	was. Mr. DiFiori says at the time when the FBI agents were
4	down to speak with him, FBI agent and a state trooper from
5	New Jersey go down and speak with him and say, Mr. Sacco's
6	incarcerated and we're prosecuting him federally and he has
7	these state charges pending. Tell us what happened.
8	Mr. DiFiori doesn't tell them what happened at that time.
9	Somebody goes back to speak with him, as I recall, a second
10	time and says, tell us what happened, and Mr. DiFiori says, I
11	got these letters and he's my tenant and that's it. You
12	know, am I wrong to question why Mr. DiFiori said what he
13	said when he said it? I mean, you have to question that.
14	Any reasonable person would be remiss if they did not
15	question that. When does Mr. DiFiori say, I had a
16	conversation with Mr. Sacco, Mr. Sacco said he had sex with a
17	prostitute and he's facing 30 years? When does he make that
18	claim? After he speaks with whom? Mr. Lyons. Mr. DiFiori
19	was as nervous a cat as I've ever seen. He talked to control
20	the conversation. There's something there, basically is what
21	I'm saying to you. There's something there behind
22	Mr. DiFiori's revelation, 11th hour revelation after
23	conversations here in the Federal Building with the
24	government that just doesn't smell right. It's fishy. It's
25	not square. There's something about it that just isn't

- right. What it is, I don't know. I don't have the answer to 1 that. Mr. DiFiori knows it. Mr. Lyons knows what happened 2 3 during the conversation. I don't. I wasn't there. 4 Something about that isn't right. It troubles me. 5 You know, there's some quotes that I was going to read to you. Thomas Paine says, you know, things like: 6 7 Government, even in its best state, is but a necessary evil; in its worst state, an intolerable one. The people who 8 9 framed the Constitution 200 years ago understood the same 10 problem we face now. The more things change, the more they 11 stay the same. The problems that we face now with the power 12 of the federal government are not all different fundamentally 13 from the problems framers of the Constitution had with the 14 British government at the time they framed this Constitution. 15 The reason they framed the Constitution is because they were 16 afraid of the government. They put checks and balances in. 17 It's a rational system. They put checks against the power of 18 the government. Why? Because the power itself is what is
 - John Adams, our first Vice President, our second President, said, as I recall the quote: Fear is the foundation of most governments. That's still true. It's no less true today than it was 200 years ago. It's something

fearful. And the power in this case, I submit to you, was

used in a way that was the foundation for the fear of the

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

framers.

Τ	that has an effect on this case in the context of, let's say,
2	for example, Mr. DiFiori. Was Mr. DiFiori fearful? When you
3	go back to deliberate, please consider this question. Talk
4	about it among yourselves. Was Mr. DiFiori fearful? Was he
5	fearful of a letter that Mr. Sacco said that: I will deal
6	with you when I get out of prison? Maybe. Maybe that's
7	true. Was there something else Mr. DiFiori was fearful of?
8	I ask you to I ask your favor to discuss that question
9	among yourselves when you go back. It's important.
10	We stand here because the grand jury of the
11	federal court has issued indictments against these two
12	defendants. I want to make sure you understand what that
13	means and what that doesn't mean. It's an accusation. It's
14	a probability thing. It's not a month worth of 9-to-5
15	testimony by a long shot.
16	There's a gentleman by the name of William
17	Campbell who is a great writer, political writer that I enjoy
18	reading, who said something like this: Today the grand jury

The indictment is not proof. It doesn't mean really much except it defines what you all need to decide. I set that out because I want you to understand, the fact that somebody makes a claim doesn't mean that these defendants are

is the total captive of the prosecutor, who, if he's candid,

will concede that he can indict anybody at any time for

almost anything before any grand jury.

19

20

21

22

23

24

quilty. The government in this case -- and I define the 1 government as a whole, and I reason -- there is a reason why 2 3 I spoke with Kim Hamilton about the government, including the federal prosecutor in this case, including the DSS, including 4 5 Greater Binghamton Health Center, which I understand is a governmental agency, because it explains how events occurred 6 7 and why these events occurred. The government involved this girl from early on, August 2006 and brought her along in the 8 9 system to the point where she testified on the witness stand 10 here. Her mother didn't bring her from that point along to 11 testify. And I submit to you certainly from the time of this 12 disclosure, March of '07 until today, Mr. Sacco had nothing 13 to do with this girl. It was the government's -- I include 14 Kim Hamilton in there -- people who brought her along there. 15 Mr. Lovric objected when I called DSS of Chenango County the 16 government, but they're the government. That is part and 17 parcel of what's been presented to you. 18 A good part of the proof in this case is 19 dedicated to discussion and a good part of discussion in this 20 case by the government was dedicated to Mr. Sacco's 21 background. I have to speak with you about it. It's on the 22 table. That's what we do. I object very infrequently,

frankly. The way I look at it, bring it in, put it on the

table, we'll dissect it, we'll talk about it, we'll analyze

it, you reach a conclusion. If it's met beyond a reasonable

23

24

doubt standard, fine, we'll live with that. But in this case 1 there is some proof that I have rarely had to deal with in 2 3 the nature of character evidence about somebody in the past. What they've done. Ordinarily, my experience, when I speak 4 5 with a jury in a trial, I don't have to talk about character 6 evidence, what they did in the past to show they did it this 7 time, because it's generally not used. In this case it is because it's a different setting, difficult setting. There's 8 9 special rules that apply in this case. 10 You heard about at length, at great length, we 11 are what we are. Like it or not, Mr. Sacco is what he is. 12 He had his own busload of issues, so to speak, from 13 childhood, from way back. He had some proclivities and 14 propensities that are written about at length starting around 15 age 13 that are odd. I went to a counselor with my wife to 16 learn how to talk with her. My counselor, first thing he 17 said to me was, everybody's crazy. Nobody is normal. I tend 18 to agree with him. It's a standing joke, everyone except us

But seriously, Dean Sacco had problems. He had social problems. He had sexual problems. They were not socially acceptable. His desires were not socially acceptable. We agree upon that. What did he do? Did he hide that propensity? He did not. What he did was he wrote about it. He tried to get it out. He put it into a book and

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is crazy.

1	published it for the whole darn world to see. This is who I
2	am. This is it. He acknowledges in the book that he has a
3	problem. He understands that what he has done has been
4	wrong. The diaries, after he got out of prison, that he
5	writes at about the time that he's living at the YMCA and
6	you can have those read or you can read them. As I
7	understand, the timing and that's really part of why I
8	called Elizabeth Dinunzio. I couldn't figure out anybody
9	else who could tie up the time frame of the writing of that
10	to 2002 when Mr. Sacco lived at YMCA in New Jersey and began
11	working with Glenwood Furniture. That's important, because
12	if you look at the diaries, 2002, it appears to be when they
13	end. And at that point Mr. Sacco tries changing his
14	behavior. He makes a legitimate effort at it. Okay. He's
15	out working. By the time he buys a house in Norwich, he's
16	working for Glenwood or Rising Sun. Apparently at some point
17	they change the nature of that employment relationship. And
18	you know, let me address this first: He's working eventually
19	at that Glenwood/Rising Sun. He's working for the Manhattan
20	Club doing marketing. He's working for the wine company
21	doing marketing. He's up here working for Norwich Meadows
22	Farms driving truck. And he bought a house up here so that
23	he could make money like Mr. DiFiori and his wife did. He's
24	out there working as hard as he can. Part of his dream is to
25	come to Norwich, start a new life.

1	All right. He makes a deposit on that house,
2	as I recall, in May of 2005. Fifteen months before he ever
3	knows Linda or Shannon O'Connor. And he starts to work and
4	brings his mom up, and he's proud of what he did and he's
5	proud of what he's aspiring to do. He is not without his
6	problems. But he made an effort, a legitimate effort to
7	extract himself from the setting in which he was raised in
8	which those problems manifested themselves and to bring
9	himself to an environment that is different, to change.
10	I I who knows what happens in the hearts
11	of men. I don't profess to understand everything. But that
12	evidence of a desire to change is an acknowledgment that the
13	behaviors that had gone on before were unacceptable to Mr.
14	Sacco.
15	Thank God for cross-examination. You know,
16	Mr. Lovric says that somehow cross-examination was wrong.
17	It's the message that I got, theme that I got from him. And
18	if it wasn't for cross-examination, what story would you have
19	heard in this case? You would have heard Mr. Sacco is just
20	this loner or loser, that Shannon O'Connor is credible,
21	trustworthy, and you can take to the bank whatever she tells
22	you. But those things aren't true, and the only way that you

prove them really is through cross-examination. Somebody

else I'm paraphrasing said that cross-examination is the

greater engine of truth ever created. You know, I think

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's true. I don't know how to cross-examine a distraught 14-year-old girl. There is no way to do it except get up and ask the questions. In a working way fashion about the fact.

I'm not very emotional and I'm certainly not very good at the emotional aspects of this case. I give credit where credit is due. Mr. Lovric is a very able attorney. Very skilled at what he does. And plucking your heart strings effectively, emotionally seeking to move you in the direction of a conviction. I'm not good at that stuff. What I rely on are facts. Where do I get the facts from? The witnesses. How do I get those facts from the witnesses? I ask them questions. And that's what I did here. And you heard the evidence. The result of that was what it was. But my point is that without cross-examination, the story that you would have heard here would have been at best, at best a half-truth, because we didn't go back and examine pre-August '06 history concerning Shannon O'Connor. You didn't hear anything about that. I don't know if there is any history or not. Nobody was aware of it. That's what we heard here. And you know, the cross-examination concerning some of the other witnesses brought out some facts that the government did not bring out voluntarily. But it's important, particularly with respect to Shannon, that the cross-examination was not done -- would have a malicious intent. It's not that I intend to humiliate and embarrass

- this girl by any means. I did my best to do that. 1 offended in doing my job here by my objections, my 2 3 comportment, the way I examined these witnesses, please accept my apology at this point, but talk to me after trial, 4 5 call me up and say, I have a real problem with what you did, but don't take that against Mr. Sacco, please. I was doing 6 7 my best to do my job here and I think, frankly, I did, because it became clear that the story that you heard from 8 9 Mr. Lovric during his opening statement and the story that 10 you heard from Shannon O'Connor during direct examination 11 were parallel. I'll use the term parallel. They weren't 12 identical but, boy, were they parallel. 13 I had Mr. Lovric's opening statement typed up 14 by Miss Theleman. She was kind enough to give that to me. 15 Shannon O'Connor's examination, two volumes sitting over 16 there, and I looked at those and I looked at the direct 17 examination and I looked at the opening statement. I said, 18 wow, that's amazing. They're parallel, every step of the 19 way, timewise, substantively. 20 But then came cross-examination. Remarkably 21 Shannon O'Connor's memory when she was on direct examination
 - by Mr. Lovric was quite good. She remembered details, times, dates, places, names, who was where. But within ten minutes of asking her questions about what happened, her memory came apart. Now whether she really couldn't remember or not, I

22

23

1 leave for you all to decide. That's not my job. But I submit to you that -- well, let me back up. Let me back up. 2 3 She was on the stand for two days. We took a break at one point during her cross-examination. After she came back from 4 that break is when the "I do not remember" began. Not "I'm not sure about that" or "I can't really recall that date." 6 7 Specifically, and I quote it time and time and time and time and time and time again, "I do not remember." That 8 9 was the fallback. That was the default. That was the way to 10 not have to answer questions about what really occurred. And day two was worse than day one. The whole examination 11 12 basically came up -- I had other cross-examination planned to 13 ask her about -- substantive questions about events that occurred, and finally I said to myself, you know what, 14 15 there's no sense in it. There's no reason to go any further. 16 It's clear that no matter what I ask about, the answer is 17 going to be, "I don't remember." And so I stopped. I've 18 always done my best where seated in silence. That's one 19 perfect example of it. It's important, because what that 20 cross-examination did was it told you the other side, the 21 other 50 percent or 70 percent or 90 percent of the story 22 that you were told by the government. There are some claims in this case that have 23 24 to be tested. I talked briefly about the dreams changing, 25 but that's only part of the story here. Originally -- let's

1 go historically. March 2, 2007 is the first statement that

2	Shannon O'Connor makes. Let's talk for a moment about claims
3	of violence, because that is part of the government's case in
4	this presentation to you. The claim is that Mr. Sacco was
5	violent to Shannon O'Connor. March 2 statement is that Buddy
6	the dog bit Mr. Sacco on the backside. Whether that's
7	credible or not I leave to you. But that statement says, "I
8	thought that Mr. Sacco was going to or might hit Buddy."
9	That's what that statement says the first time. It does not
10	say, "I thought Mr. Sacco was going to hit me." They go to
11	the doctor's offices, the hospital ten days later on
12	March 12, and what does Shannon O'Connor tell the doctor at
13	that point? No history of physical violence. In that the
14	historian or historians were at the very least consistent in
15	the same manner.
16	Now Mr. Lovric said in his opening statement
17	that he was going to call Dr. Waters and Dr. Waters was going
18	to get up here and testify, and Dr. Waters, when he got up
19	here and testified, was going to tell you that there was
20	sexual intercourse here. I know because I read I have the
21	transcript, but I know that's what was said. But there is no
22	Dr. Waters. But we do have his record, fortunately, which
23	says what it says. No violence. No claim of violence.
24	But then we go let's say we go to the next
25	sten so to March 14 two days later. Any claims of violence

Τ	in that phone call? None. Any claims of violence in the
2	next day phone call? None. Listen to them phone calls.
3	Part of them, 4 minutes worth was played here. Shannon says
4	there was no conversation, she was scared of Mr. Sacco, there
5	was no conversation on the phone between Mr. Sacco and
6	Shannon O'Connor. Your ears, your ears are what control
7	here. Listen to that. It's clear as a bell to me, but my
8	opinion doesn't control here. Your collective opinion, 12 of
9	you talk, talk about this subject. Did Mr. Sacco and Shannor
10	O'Connor have a continuing relationship at the time of those
11	phone calls, had they talked on the phone before many times?
12	Were they familiar in their conversation with each other?
13	Listen to the tenor of the conversation. Listen to the
14	tones. Their voices. My conclusion from that is they had
15	talked on the phone many, many times. They were friendly.
16	They had conversations about some very important subjects.
17	They had some conversations about a subject that is part of
18	this claim. They had conversation at some point prior to
19	March 15 or 14 of 2007 about Shannon's sexual history. How
20	did that come about? How did that conversation come about?
21	Shannon says, well, somebody else must have told Mr. Sacco
22	about that and he relayed it to me. I either said yes or no.
23	You evaluate her credibility whether she's telling the truth
24	about that. But the subject at some point prior to March of
25	2007 had been discussed between the two of them. How could

that happen, in a violent setting? Or in a different setting 1 where the two were conversing about sexual topics? 2 3 latter is the more rational, logical and makes the greatest amount of sense. There was a conversation here about that 4 sexual subject prior to March of 2007. Is that consistent 5 with claims of violence? It's not consistent with claims of 6 7 violence. It is inconsistent with claims of violence. It proves to you that the claims that are now being made about 8 9 violence in that relationship are untrue.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But let's go back to the records. 2/28/07 is when Shannon has the dreams about her mother stabbing her and she says later on -- and I don't know whether it was the October or December statement -- it morphs into: Well, Mr. Sacco hit Buddy, and because Mr. Sacco hit Buddy, I thought he might hit me. And then it changes into, on the December 5 statement: Mr. Sacco hit me with the back of his hand. That's the end conclusion that was presented to you as the jury. But historically, if you look at that historically, it is untrue. And that's important in this case because it creates a false impression. The impression, getting up here and saying that there was violence in this relationship, if that's false, is really important. It's fundamentally important to what Shannon O'Connor is claiming in this case. If it's false, it supports the idea that she basically is making this up or making part of it up or making most of it

up but basically that she's making it up. The claim of violence portrays her as the victim of violence. Is that consistent, based on this evidence?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Renee Lang. Now I have problems with the way Renee Lang testified. Renee loved being a witness. She told Mr. Lovric, ask me about this subject and I'll remember what it was. And he did, and she got to expound on whatever she wanted to expound about. She loved being up there. problems with many of the things that Renee said because they're inconsistent historically with what she wrote at the time, that is, the August 22, 2006 note where she talks about Shannon coming down, gee, I had a good night's sleep and what I had for breakfast that day. She forgets the note: If, Shannon says, I have to go back to my house, I'm going to kill myself. Historically that's inconsistent. If you go to what was written at the time and believe that, it's inconsistent with what Renee Lang testified to here. have a problem with that aspect of her testimony.

There was some other things that in my opinion Renee exaggerated, amplified, confabulated maybe. But one thing that Renee did say was -- and I think that she told Liz Chesebro about this at the time -- that Shannon had a history of kind of easing up to some men. Also that Shannon -- everyone was concerned -- I'm not saying that Shannon -- I'm not saying that Renee Lang said that Shannon said this, but

Renee Lang did say that there was a concern about Shannon 1 making false accusations against men. Where that came from, 2 3 I don't know. I didn't ask her about it. Maybe I should 4 have. But it was said back in August, September, October, sometime in that summer of 2006. Historically that's what 5 was said at that time. Is that important in this case in 6 7 determining you should believe these claims? I submit to you it's very important; it's fundamentally important. 8 9 evidence that Shannon O'Connor made false claims against men, 10 of a sexual nature? And Kim Hamilton sat here on the witness 11 stand earlier today and basically told you the same thing, in 12 detail, with graphic detail, about whose handwriting it was, 13 names of children that came to be read. Shannon later on, 14 when confronted later on, undeniable evidence, crying and 15 admitted the truth, but originally that Shannon made false 16 accusations about sexual misconduct. That's not the only 17 time she made it. She made it back in August of '06 before 18 she ever made any claims against Mr. Sacco. That's really 19 important. That goes to the heart of the claims in this 20 case. It's fundamental. Not just Shannon sitting here 21 saying, "I can't remember," but her conduct at the time, 22 August of '06. What she actually did at that time is 23 important historically if you're going to put this back 24 together again.

You know, historically, I rely on, as I

understand here, today, 26 of May 2008, years later, my spin 1 on what occurred is exactly just that, as is Mr. Lovric's, as 2 3 is Miss Peebles. We take all these pieces, certain select pieces of evidence, we weave a story about what really 4 5 happened back then, but it is necessarily one-sided from our perspective. It's not historically accurate. The only way 6 7 you're going to find historically accurate information is to go to the time the event occurred. I cannot overstress the 8 importance of doing that in this case because there's a lot 9 10 of evidence that you can look back to. 11 To get back to my point about the claim of 12 violence: It's not supported historically. 13 That's a real important part of this Cameras. 14 case too. What did Shannon O'Connor say about cameras in 15 August of '06? Nothing. What did she say in March of '07? 16 Nothing. Now, she was trying to protect her mom, she said, 17 in March of '07, but she could have said the truth about Mr. 18 Sacco, Mr. Sacco's taking pictures, in March of '07. But she 19 didn't say that.

And you know, again, how do you assess credibility? You watch people's reactions. Shannon was up here testifying for a long time. She was pretty flat in her affect for the most part of her testimony. Miss Peebles showed her on cross-examination some letters from her mother that choked me up and that Shannon didn't bat an eyelash.

20

21

22

23

24

She didn't blink about them. She recounted these horrific events without the slightest emotion. But when I asked her about her March 2 statement, what she said and what she didn't say, I mean, it's plain as day, it says what it says. It doesn't say what it doesn't say. Did you say that at that time? Only then, only then, when confronted with the untruth that she was stating up here, did Shannon cry. That's the only time she did. It's the only time she got that emotional is when she was proved to be telling a falsehood. That's telling. That's really important.

And part of what was not in that original statement was the cameras, and that's important. Because historically she didn't say a thing. She does say it in October of 2007. She says, yep, there was this zoom camera. I remember it; it was a zoom camera. Well, you have evidence here of a video camera, Polaroid camera, neither of which are zoom cameras, and you have the eBay records of a Fuji film 1000 35-millimeter. It's in the pile of evidence. I'm not going to try to find it for you. But it's clear as a bell that's what it is. It is a Fuji film 1000 zoom 35-millimeter camera. Okay. But it's black. She describes the camera that's silver. She also later on says that he set it and forget it. They're just not consistent historic statements. They're inconsistent. And this is at a time, as I've suggested, that she was at the Greater Binghamton Health

- Center and when she was, at the very least, confused about what really, really happened.
- Oh, yeah. The camera, the only -- the first

 time she talks about a camera with the picture on the back -
 thank God Liz Chesebro made notes. Shannon meets with Mr.

 Lovric, Mr. Lyons here in the Federal Building in March of

 this year. Mr. Lyons apparently has no notes. Mr. Lovric

 apparently has no notes. Well, there's an objection for
- MR. LOVRIC: Objection. That's not the evidence.

that.

12 THE COURT: Well, whatever the jury can
13 remember on that point. It's argument he's making. The jury
14 remembers something different, that's up to them.

15 MR. FISCHER: We haven't seen any of those 16 notes from Mr. Lovric or Mr. Lyons. If they existed, we 17 haven't seen them. What we did see, thank goodness, 18 Elizabeth Chesebro's notes, because Elizabeth Chesebro says, 19 I don't know whether it was March 7, March 14 or March 2 of 20 2008, but that's the first time when Mr. Lovric has the 21 camera in his office and pulls it out and says: Is this the 22 camera? Is this the camera that was used? Is this the one? 23 What do you think she says? Oh, no, that wasn't the camera? 24 No, she doesn't say that. She says, oh, yeah it's the one 25 with the picture on the back. Figure out how to work this

this camera? Never. Never. She's so suggestible. But my point is: If you look at historically trying to figure out	thing. There it is. Picture on the back. Does she say that
point is: If you look at historically trying to figure out what really happened, this camera was never mentioned before she was shown this camera. As soon as she was shown this	before she's prompted? Does she say that before she's shown
what really happened, this camera was never mentioned before she was shown this camera. As soon as she was shown this	this camera? Never. Never. She's so suggestible. But my
she was shown this camera. As soon as she was shown this	point is: If you look at historically trying to figure out
	what really happened, this camera was never mentioned before
camera, all of a sudden it becomes part of the plan.	she was shown this camera. As soon as she was shown this
	camera, all of a sudden it becomes part of the plan.

Oh, marijuana. Again, thank goodness for Elizabeth Chesebro. First meeting, no discussion about marijuana. March. Let's go back to August. In August of '06, any mention of marijuana? Nothing. March 2 of '07, any mention of marijuana? No. How about when she's making full disclosure back in October and December of 2007? You would think at that point she would say, oh, yeah, yeah, they gave me marijuana, because they talked about the wine coolers and Vicodin. But she doesn't talk about it in October and December of 2007. She talks about it when? Only when -- later on, when she's meeting here in this building with the government. Elizabeth Chesebro, and Mr. Lovric.

Confabulation. I submit to you, that's confabulation.

It is -- she's now 14 years old at Greater
Binghamton Health Center. She's out a little bit now in a
halfway home. I submit to you she's been probably exposed to
some marijuana recently. She's been around kids who are
smoking, maybe she's smoking herself, I don't know, but the

Τ	first time that it comes up is about two months ago. And at
2	one point, as I recall, she says, I was given marijuana once,
3	and then later on she says twice. Detail. But it's
4	inconsistent historically with what she said really occurred
5	at a time closer to the events that occurred. It is a
6	mixture of what really happened cast in its most sinister way
7	to make Mr. Sacco look bad. And when is it cast in that
8	direction? When she's meeting with whom? With my
9	understanding, maybe that's just a coincidence. Maybe she
10	was given marijuana back then. Maybe not. But I submit to
11	you, logically speaking, the message, I'm not saying Mr.
12	Lovric is a decent, respectable gentleman. What I'm saying
13	to you, inherent in the power of this setting is the unspoken
14	message. And Mr. Lovric asked Shannon at the end of his
15	examination: Did I tell you what to say? No. Did I tell
16	you to tell the truth? Yes. But the unspoken suggestion is,
17	let's get Mr. Sacco. Do what we can. I mean, that's from
18	the outset, March 2, 2007. That's what the objective has
19	always been. Nobody's ever questioned the truth of what
20	Shannon O'Connor said except under cross-examination in this
21	courtroom. The government, Liz Chesebro to Miro Lovric, has
22	accepted what she said wholesale without any critical
23	analysis. That raises a ton of problems because you have the
24	power now of local, state and federal governments pushing in
25	that direction with a suggestible child. And this is the

1	reason why the framers, who are a smart group, the framers of
2	the Constitution, well-educated, well-reasoned, thoughtful
3	people put these checks and balances in place at that time.
4	I have more to say, your Honor. It's 5:00. I
5	don't know if you want me to continue, but I'll be a bit
6	more.
7	THE COURT: Well, let's examine that. When
8	you say you have more to say, can you quantify?
9	MR. FISCHER: I have quite a bit left to say,
10	Judge.
11	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen,
12	this is a good time then to break. And we'll see you
13	tomorrow at let's say 9:45. You almost had me there.
14	Let me remind you not to discuss the case
15	among yourselves, with anybody else, or permit anyone to
16	discuss it with you.
17	See you tomorrow morning at 9:45. Have a nice
18	evening. Court stands adjourned.
19	(Jury excused)
20	(Court stands adjourned)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION 1 2 3 I, VICKY A. THELEMAN, RPR, CRR, United 4 States Court Reporter in and for the United States 5 District Court, Northern District of New York, do 6 hereby certify that I attended at the time and place 7 set forth in the heading hereof; that I did make a 8 stenographic record of the proceedings had in this 9 matter and cause the same to be transcribed; that 10 the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the same 11 and the whole thereof. 12 13 14 15 16 VICKY A. THELEMAN, RPR, CRR 17 United States Court Reporter US District Court - NDNY 18 19 20 21 Dated: August 25, 2008. 2.2 23 24 25